

CS 2336

Discrete Mathematics

Lecture 1

Logic: Propositional Logic

Outline

- Proposition
- Propositional Logic
- Applications
- Propositional Equivalences

Proposition

- A **declarative** sentence is a sentence that declares a fact
 - $1 + 1 = 2.$ [declarative]
 - $2 + 2 = 3.$ [declarative]
 - What time is it? [non-declarative]
 - Read this carefully. [non-declarative]

Proposition

- A **proposition** is a declarative sentence that is either true or false, but not both
 - $1 + 1 = 2.$ [proposition, true]
 - $2 + 2 = 3.$ [proposition, false]
 - $x + 1 = 2.$ [non-proposition]
 - You will pass in this course. [??]

Proposition

- We can use variables to denote propositions
 - p : “ $1 + 1 = 2$.”
 - q : “You get an A in this course.”
- Truth Value
 - If a proposition is true, we say its **truth value** is true, and is denoted by T
 - Else, its **truth value** is false, and is denoted by F

Logical Operators

- We can create new proposition from the existing ones, by using **logical operators**

1. Negation

Let p be a proposition. The **negation** of p , denoted by $\neg p$, is the statement

“It is not the case that p .”

The truth value of $\neg p$ is the opposite of the truth value of p .

Test Your Understanding

- What is the negation of the following propositions? Express them in simple English.
 - Simon's PC runs Windows XP.
 - Chris's PC has at least 32GB of memory.

Logical Operators

2. Conjunction

Let p and q be propositions.

The **conjunction** of p and q , denoted by $p \wedge q$, is the proposition
“ p and q .”

The truth value of $p \wedge q$ is true if both p and q are true. Otherwise, it is false.

Test Your Understanding

- What is the conjunction of the following propositions? Express them in simple English.
 - Kai is the lecturer of this course.
 - This course is held on Monday and Friday.

Logical Operators

3. Disjunction

Let p and q be propositions.

The **disjunction** of p and q , denoted by $p \vee q$, is the proposition
“ p or q .”

The truth value of $p \vee q$ is false if both p and q are false. Otherwise, it is true.

Test Your Understanding

- What is the disjunction of the following propositions? Express them in simple English.
 - Students from NTHU can take this class.
 - Students from NCTU can take this class.

Logical Operators

4. Exclusive Or

Let p and q be propositions.

The **exclusive or** of p and q , denoted by $p \oplus q$, is the proposition
“either p or q , but not both.”

The truth value of $p \oplus q$ is true if exactly one of p and q is true. Else, it is false.

Truth Table

- A convenient way to see the effect of the logical operators is by using a **truth table**
- The truth table for negation of p is as follows:

p	$\neg p$
T	F
F	T

Truth Table

- The truth table for $p \wedge q$ is as follows:

p	q	$p \wedge q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

- How about $p \vee q$ and $p \oplus q$?

Logical Operators

5. Conditional Statement

Let p and q be propositions.

The **conditional statement** $p \rightarrow q$, is the proposition

“if p , then q .”

The truth value of $p \rightarrow q$ is false if p is true and q is false. Else, it is true.

More on Conditional Statement

- In the proposition $p \rightarrow q$,
 - p is called the **hypothesis**, or the **premise**
 - q is called the **conclusion**
- Many arguments in mathematical reasoning involve conditional statements, and there are many equivalent ways to express $p \rightarrow q$
 - “ p implies q ” “ p only if q ” “ q if p ” “ q follows from p ”
 - “ p is sufficient for q ” “ q is necessary for p ”
 - “a sufficient condition for q is p ”
 - “a necessary condition for p is q ”

Truth Table

- The truth table for $p \rightarrow q$ is as follows:

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

- Note: It is not very natural, from English point of view

Test Your Understanding

- Let p and q be the following propositions:
 - p : “You get an A in the course”
 - q : “You pass the course”
- Express the following propositions as conditional statements in terms of p and q :
 - You get an A in the course only if you pass the course
 - You pass the course only if you get an A in the course
 - You get an A in the course implies you pass the course
 - You pass the course implies you get an A in the course

More on Conditional Statement

- Three special propositions are related to the conditional statement $p \rightarrow q$
 1. The **converse** of $p \rightarrow q$: $q \rightarrow p$
 2. The **contrapositive** of $p \rightarrow q$: $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$
 3. The **inverse** of $p \rightarrow q$: $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$
- What are the converse, contrapositive, and inverse of the following conditional statement?

“If it rains, Kai orders pizza as his lunch”

Logical Operators

6. Bi-conditional Statement

Let p and q be propositions.

The **bi-conditional statement** $p \leftrightarrow q$, is the proposition

“ p if and only if q .”

The truth value of $p \leftrightarrow q$ is true if p and q have the same truth value. Else, false.

Truth Table

- The truth table for $p \leftrightarrow q$ is as follows:

p	q	$p \leftrightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

- Any relationship between $p \leftrightarrow q$ and $p \oplus q$?

Compound Propositions

- Using the logical operators, we can build up complicated compound propositions that involves **any number** of propositions
- Again, we can use truth table to see the truth values of a compound proposition, under all possible combinations of the truth values of the basic simple propositions

Example

- The truth table for the compound proposition

$$(p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow (p \wedge q)$$

is as follows:

p	q	$\neg q$	$p \vee \neg q$	$p \wedge q$	$(p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow (p \wedge q)$
T	T	F	T	T	T
T	F	T	T	F	F
F	T	F	F	F	T
F	F	T	T	F	F

Precedence of Logical Operators

- In general, we use parentheses to specify the order in which the logical operators are used
 - However, as in mathematical expressions, we want to reduce the number of parentheses
$$(1 \times 2) + ((-3) \times 4) \rightarrow 1 \times 2 + -3 \times 4$$
 - If parentheses are omitted, a general rule is:
 - Negation \neg is applied first (similar to $-$ in -3);
 - Conjunction \wedge comes next (similar to \times)
 - Disjunction \vee comes last (similar to $+$)
 - Rule of Thumb: When in doubt, use parentheses!

Applications

- Translating English Sentences

How to translate the following sentences into a logical expression?

1. “You have a CS email account only if you are a CS major or you take a CS course.”
2. “You cannot ride the roller coaster if you are under 4 feet tall unless you are older than 16 years old.”

Applications

- Boolean Search

In searching a collection of data (e.g., files, web pages, book records), we may issue a query with search terms connected by **AND**, **OR**, **NOT**

E.g., (Tsing **AND** Hua) **OR** (Tsinghua)

SHE **AND** Band **AND** Taiwan

CCU **AND** (**NOT** NCCU) **AND** University

Applications

- Logic Puzzles

In an island, there are two types of people:
one always tell the truth, and one always lie.

You encounter two people A and B.

A says: "B always tells the truth."

B says: "We are of opposite types."

What types of people are A and B?

Applications

- Logic Puzzles

There are 10 kids, and the teacher gives each one a hat, either white or black. Each kid sees the hats of the others, but not his or hers. The teacher tells the kids that there is **at least one white and one black**, and asks the following question again and again:

“Do you know the color of your hat?”

At the **third** time, someone finally answers Yes, and says that his hat is black.

Assume all kids are smart, and answer each question instantly. How many kids are wearing black hats?

Propositional Equivalences

- An important type of mathematical arguments is the replacement of a statement with another one with the same truth value

Example:

“ n is an even integer”

can be replaced by

“ $n = 2k$, for some integer k ”

Propositional Equivalences

- Because of the above, methods that produce propositions with the same truth value as a given compound proposition are used extensively in mathematical proofs
- Next, we give some ways to show that two propositions always have the same truth value
 - In this case, they are **logically equivalent**

Logical Equivalences

- First, we define two special terms:
 1. If a compound proposition r is always true, no matter what the truth values of the basic propositions that occur in it, we say r is a **tautology**
E.g., $p \vee \neg p$ is a tautology
 2. If a compound proposition r is always false, no matter what the truth values of the basic propositions that occur in it, we say r is a **contradiction**
E.g., $p \wedge \neg p$ is a contradiction

Logical Equivalences

- Logical Equivalent

Two propositions p and q are **logically equivalent** if $p \leftrightarrow q$ is a tautology.

This enforces that the truth value of p and the truth value of q must always be the same.

If p and q are logically equivalent, we denote the fact by

$$p \equiv q$$

Logical Equivalences

- One way to show two propositions are logically equivalent is by using a truth table

Ex: Show that $p \rightarrow q$ and $\neg p \vee q$ are equivalent

p	q	$\neg p$	$p \rightarrow q$	$\neg p \vee q$
T	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T

Logical Equivalences

- De Morgan's Laws

1. $\neg (p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$

2. $\neg (p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$

- The above two laws can easily be shown by truth table method
- What is the negation of the following?
“Kai will have pizza or sushi for dinner tonight”

Logical Equivalences

- Important Equivalences

1. Identity Laws

$$p \wedge T_0 \equiv p$$

$$p \vee F_0 \equiv p$$

2. Domination Laws

(p is gone)

$$p \wedge F_0 \equiv F_0$$

$$p \vee T_0 \equiv T_0$$

3. Idempotent Laws

(okay to apply many times)

$$p \wedge p \equiv p$$

$$p \vee p \equiv p$$

4. Double Negation Law

$$\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$$

In the above, T_0 is any tautology, while F_0 is any contradiction.

Logical Equivalences

- Important Equivalences

5. Commutative Laws

$$p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p \quad p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$$

6. Associative Laws (parentheses may be omitted)

$$p \wedge (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \wedge r$$

$$p \vee (q \vee r) \equiv (p \vee q) \vee r$$

7. Distributive Laws (similar to + and \times in math expression)

$$p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$$

$$p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$$

Logical Equivalences

- Important Equivalences

8. De Morgan's Laws

$$\neg (p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$$

$$\neg (p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$$

9. Absorption Laws (a proposition may be omitted)

$$p \wedge (p \vee q) \equiv p$$

$$p \vee (p \wedge q) \equiv p$$

10. Negation Laws

$$p \wedge \neg p \equiv F_0 \qquad p \vee \neg p \equiv T_0$$

Logical Equivalences

- Apart from using a truth table, we can show two propositions are equivalent by the logical equivalences that have been established

Example:

Show that $p \rightarrow q$ and $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ are logically equivalent.

Example (Solution)

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$$

By Example on Page 33

$$\equiv q \vee \neg p$$

By Commutative Law

$$\equiv \neg(\neg q) \vee \neg p$$

By Double Negation Law

$$\equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$$

By Example on Page 33

Example 2

- Show that $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \vee q)$ is a tautology.
- Solution:

$$(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \vee q)$$

$$\equiv \neg (p \wedge q) \vee (p \vee q)$$

$$\equiv (\neg p \vee \neg q) \vee (p \vee q)$$

$$\equiv (\neg p \vee p) \vee (\neg q \vee q)$$

$$\equiv T_0 \vee T_0$$

$$\equiv T_0$$

By Example on Page 33

By De Morgan's Law

By Associative Law and
Commutative Law

By Commutative Law and
Negation Law

By Domination Law