# Tutorial 3 Theory of Computation



- We have 5 questions this time:
- Q1: Very Easy
- Q2: Easy
- Q3: Easy
- Q4: Moderate
- Q5: Easy/Moderate
- Q6: (Further studies): Hard to Think

- 1. Let *k*-PDA be a pushdown automaton that has *k* stacks
  - Thus a 0-PDA is an NFA and a 1-PDA is a conventional PDA.
- We already know that 1-PDAs are more powerful than 0-PDAs (why?)

(a) Show that some language can be recognized by a 2-PDA but not a 1-PDA.

#### Hint:

Find a (simple) non-CFL that can be recognized by 2-PDA

 Conclude that 2-PDAs are more powerful than 1-PDAs (How?) (b) (Further studies)Show that if L can be recognized by a 3-PDA,L can be recognized by some 2-PDA(Hint: use some kind of encoding)

➔ If the above is true, we can conclude that 2-PDAs are as powerful as 3-PDAs (why?)

2. Show that:

L is decidable

if and only if

some enumerator enumerates L in \*\**lexicographic order* \*\*

3. Let  $S = \{ \langle M \rangle | M \text{ is a DFA that accepts } W$ whenever it accepts  $W^R \}$ 

Show that *S* is decidable.

Hint:

If *M* recognizes *L*, can we find an NFA *N* that recognizes *L*', where  $L' = \{ w^R \mid w \text{ is in } L \}$ ?

If *M* and *N* are found. Can we decide if *M* is in *S*?

4. Let  $PAL_{DFA} = \{ \langle M \rangle | M \text{ is a DFA that accepts some palindrome} \}$ 

Show that *PAL<sub>DFA</sub>* is decidable. Hint:

- (i) Fact: CFL  $\cap$  Reg  $\rightarrow$  CFL (Prob 2.18)
- (ii) Prob 4.23 shows how to prove a similar language is decidable

 5. Suppose that we have a decider D such that D decides if the language of a CFG is infinite. That is,

*D* is a decider for the language:  $INFINITE_{CFG} = \{ <G > | G \text{ is a CFG and} \\ L(G) \text{ is infinite} \}.$ 

BTW, does D exist?

By using *D* or otherwise, show that  $C_{CFG} = \{ \langle G, k \rangle | G \text{ is a CFG and } L(G) \\ \text{ contains exactly } k \text{ strings} \\ \text{ where } k \ge 0 \text{ or } k = \infty \}$ 

is decidable.

Hint :

Let *p* be the pumping length of *G*. If L(G) is finite, L(G) cannot have any string longer than *p* (why?)

6. (Further studies)

```
Prove that:

C is Turing-recognizable

if and only if

a decidable language D exists

such that C = \{x \mid \exists y (\langle x, y \rangle \in D) \}.
```