CS5371 Theory of Computation Lecture 9: Automata Theory VII (Pumping Lemma, Non-CFL)

Objectives

- Introduce Pumping Lemma for CFL
- Apply Pumping Lemma to show that some languages are non-CFL

Pumping Lemma for CFL

- Theorem: If L is a CFL, then there is a number p (pumping length) where, if w is any string in L of length at least p,
 - we can find u,v,x,y,z with w = uvxyz and
 - for each $i \ge 0$, $uv^i x y^i z$ is in L
 - -|vy| > 0, and
 - $-|vxy| \leq p$

Proof of Pumping Lemma

- Let b be the maximum branching factor in the parse tree of any string in L
 - that is, the right side of any rule has at most b terminals and variables)
- We shall use $p = b^{|V|+1}$ to prove the lemma
- Observation: What is the minimum height of the parse tree for a string w with length at least p?

Proof of Pumping Lemma (2)

- Height of the parse tree ≥ |V| + 1
 → some path in tree ≥ |V|+2 nodes
- Only one such node can be a terminal
 At least |V|+1 variable on the path
- What does that mean?

Some variable appears at least twice

Proof of Pumping Lemma (3)

- Let R be a variable that appears at least twice
- Then, the parse tree of the string w looks something like:



S

So, $uv^i x y^i z$ is in L for any $i \ge 0$ (why??)

uv^ixy^iz is in L for any $i \ge 0$

- Facts: R derives x, R derives vxy
- Since S derives uRz, and R derives x, S can derive uxz



 Since S derives uvRyz and R derives vxy, S can derive uvvxyyz

S

X

Proof of Pumping Lemma (5)

- To complete the prove, we need to show |vy| > 0 and $|vxy| \le p$
- The current construction cannot, but we can do so if we further restrict:
 - (1) parse tree is the smallest among all that can generate the string w
 (2) R is chosen from the lowest |V|+1 variables in the longest root-to-leaf path

|vy| > 0

- Suppose on the contrary that |vy| = 0
 Both v and y are empty strings
- Then in the parse tree, we replace "Subtree of R that generates vxy" by "Subtree of R that generates x"
- Resulting parse tree will also generate w (why?), but it has fewer nodes
 - → contradiction occurs

$|vxy| \leq p$

- R is chosen from the lowest |V| + 1
 variables in the longest root-to-leaf path
- Consider subtree of R that generates vxy
 Its height is at most |V|+1 (why?)
 - \rightarrow It has at most $b^{|V|+1}$ leaves
 - Thus, vxy has at most p characters (as p = b^{|V|+1})

Recall: b = maximum branching factor

Non-CFL (example 1)

Theorem: The language $A = \{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \ge 0\}$ is not a context-free language.

How to prove? By contradiction, using pumping lemma First thing: Assume that A is CFL

Proof (example 1)

- Let p be the pumping length
- Let w = a^pb^pc^p in A, and consider partition w into any u,v,x,y,z such that w = uvxyz
- Two possible cases:
 Case 1: Both v and y have only one type of char
 Case 2: v or y has more than one type of char
- In both cases, uvvxyyz is not in A (why?)
- Thus, we find a string at least p long in A that does not satisfy pumping lemma
 - Contradiction occurs

Non-CFL (example 2)

Theorem: The language $B = \{a^i b^j c^k \mid 0 \le i \le j \le k\}$ is not a context-free language.

How to prove? By contradiction, using pumping lemma First thing: Assume that B is CFL

Proof (example 2)

- Let p be the pumping length
- Let w = a^pb^pc^p in B, and consider partition w into any u,v,x,y,z such that w = uvxyz
- Two possible cases:
 Case 1: Both v and y have only one type of char
 Case 2: v or y has more than one type of char
- We can see that for Case 2, uvvxyyz cannot be in B
- How about Case 1?

Proof (example 2)

- Unfortunately, for Case 1, if v = b, y = c, then the string uvvxyyz is always in B...
- So, how to get a contradiction??
- We divide Case 1 into two subcases:
 Subcase 1.1: char a not appear in both v and y
 Subcase 1.2: char a appears in v or y

Proof (example 2)

- For Subcase 1.1 (char a not appear in v and y), uxz cannot be in B [why?]
- For Subcase 1.2 (char a appears in v or y), uvvxyyz cannot be in B [why?]
- Thus, we find a string at least p long in B that does not satisfy pumping lemma
 Contradiction occurs

Non-CFL (example 3)

Theorem: The language C = {ww | w in {0,1}*} is not a context-free language.

How to prove? By contradiction, use pumping lemma on OP1POP1P

Proof (example 3)

- When w = O^p1^pO^p1^p = uvxyz, what can be the corresponding vxy?
 - Case 1: vxy appears in the first half
 - Case 2: vxy appears in the second half
 - Case 3: vxy includes the middle '10'
- For Cases 1 or 2, uvvxyyz not in C (why?)
- For Case 3, u must start with 0^p , and z must end with 1^p (because $|vxy| \le p$ and vxy includes the middle '10')

→ Then, uxz cannot be in C (why?)

CFL is closed under all regular operations

- Union: We have seen that before
- Concatenation:

Let G_A and G_B be CFGs for two CFLs Aand B, using different sets of variables Let S_A and S_B be their start variables Combine the rules, add rule $S \rightarrow S_A S_B$

• Star: Add rule $S \rightarrow SS_A \mid \varepsilon$

CFL closed under complement?

- What is the complement of $A = \{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \ge 0\}?$ Assume $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$
- The complement of A includes:
 - strings containing ba, ca, or cb;
 - strings $a^i b^j c^k$ with $i \neq j$ or $j \neq k$
 - → the complement of A is a CFL (why??)
- As A is not a CFL, what can we conclude?

CFL closed under intersection?

- Is $A = \{a^n b^n c^m \mid n, m \ge 0\}$ a CFL?
- Is $B = \{a^m b^n c^n \mid n, m \ge 0\}$ a CFL?
- What is the intersection of A and B?
 Is it a CFL?
- What can we conclude?

What we have learnt so far?

- PDA = CFG
 - Prove by Construction
- Properties of CFG
 - Ambiguous, Chomsky Normal Form
- Pumping Lemma
 - Prove by Contradiction (using Parse Tree)
- Existence of non-CFL



Next Time

- Turing Machine
 - A even more power computer