

Randomized algorithm

Tutorial 3

Joyce

2009-11-10

Solution to Assignment 2

Question 1

Question 2

Question 3

Question 4

Question 5

Question 6

Solution to Assignment 2

[Question 1]:(10%) A fixed point of a permutation $\pi : [1, n] \rightarrow [1, n]$ is a value for which $\pi(x) = x$. Find the variance in the number of fixed points of a permutation chosen uniformly at random from all permutations.

[Solution]: Let X_i be an indicator such that $X_i = 1$ if $\pi(i) = i$. Then, $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is the number of fixed points.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}[X] &= E[X^2] - (E[X])^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i^2] + \sum_{i \neq j} E[X_i X_j] - (E[X])^2\end{aligned}$$

Since $E[X_i^2] = \Pr(X_i^2 = 1) = \frac{1}{n}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}E[X_i X_j] &= \Pr(X_i X_j = 1) \\ &= \Pr(X_i = 1) \Pr(X_j = 1 \mid X_i = 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{n(n-1)},\end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\text{Var}[X] = n \times \frac{1}{n} + n(n-1) \times \frac{1}{n(n-1)} - 1 = 1.$$

[Question 2]: Recall that the covariance of random variables X and Y is:

$$\text{Cov}[X, Y] = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])].$$

We have seen that if X and Y are independent, then the covariance is 0. Interestingly, if X and Y are not independent, the covariance may still be 0.

(15%) Construct an example where X and Y are not independent, yet $\text{Cov}[X, Y] = 0$.

[Solution]: Let the sample space be $\{-1, 0, 1\}$, with each outcome having the same probability to occur. Let X denote the outcome and let $Y = X^2$. We know that $\Pr(X = 0 \cap Y = 0) = 1/3$ and $\Pr(X = 0)\Pr(Y = 0) = 1/9$ so that X and Y are not independent. However,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}[X, Y] &= E[(X - E[X])(Y - E[Y])] \\ &= \frac{1}{3} ((-1)(1 - E[Y]) + (0)(0 - E[Y]) + (1)(1 - E[Y])) \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

[Question 3]:

The weak law of large numbers states that, if X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots are independent and identically distributed random variables with finite mean μ and finite standard deviation σ , then for any constant $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr \left(\left| \frac{X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + \dots + X_n}{n} - \mu \right| > \epsilon \right) = 0$$

(15%) Use Chebyshev's inequality to prove the weak law of large numbers.

[Solution]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pr \left(\left| \frac{X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + \dots + X_n}{n} - \mu \right| > \epsilon \right) \\ &= \Pr (|X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + \dots + X_n - n\mu| > n\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

By Chebyshev's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pr (|X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + \dots + X_n - n\mu| > n\epsilon) \\ & \leq \frac{\text{Var}[X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + \dots + X_n]}{(n\epsilon)^2} \\ & = \frac{\sum \text{Var}[X_i]}{n^2 \epsilon^2} = \frac{n\sigma^2}{n^2 \epsilon^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr \left(\left| \frac{X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + \dots + X_n}{n} - \mu \right| > \epsilon \right) = 0$$

[Question 4]: Suppose you are given a biased coin that has $\Pr(\text{head}) = p$. Also, suppose that we know $p \geq a$, for some fixed a . Now, consider flipping the coin n times and let n_H be the number of times a head comes up. Naturally, we would estimate p by the value $\tilde{p} = n_H/n$.

1. Show that for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$\Pr(|p - \tilde{p}| > \epsilon p) < \exp\left(\frac{-na\epsilon^2}{2}\right) + \exp\left(\frac{-na\epsilon^2}{3}\right)$$

2. Show that for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, if

$$n > \frac{3 \ln(2/\delta)}{a\epsilon^2},$$

then

$$\Pr(|p - \tilde{p}| > \epsilon p) < \delta.$$

[Solution]: (1)

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pr(|p - \tilde{p}| > \epsilon p) \\ &= \Pr(n\tilde{p} < np - \epsilon pn) + \Pr(n\tilde{p} > np + \epsilon pn) \\ &= \Pr(X < E[X](1 - \epsilon)) + \Pr(X > E[X](1 + \epsilon)) \\ &< e^{-np\epsilon^2/2} + e^{-np\epsilon^2/3} \\ &< e^{-na\epsilon^2/2} + e^{-na\epsilon^2/3} \end{aligned}$$

[Solution]: (2)

$$n > \frac{3 \ln(2/\delta)}{a\epsilon^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow na\epsilon^2/3 > \ln(2/\delta)$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln(\delta/2) > -na\epsilon^2/3$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta/2 > e^{-na\epsilon^2/3}$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta > e^{-na\epsilon^2/3} + e^{-na\epsilon^2/2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta > \Pr(|p - \tilde{p}| > \epsilon p)$$

[Question 5]:(20%) Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be independent Poisson trials such that $\Pr(X_i) = p_i$. Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $\mu = E[X]$. During the class, we have learnt that for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\Pr(X \geq (1 + \delta)\mu) < \left(\frac{e^\delta}{(1 + \delta)^{(1+\delta)}} \right)^\mu$$

In fact, the above inequality holds for the *weighted* sum of Poisson trials. Precisely, let a_1, \dots, a_n be real numbers in $[0, 1]$. Let $W = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i$ and $\nu = E[W]$. Then, for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\Pr(W \geq (1 + \delta)\nu) < \left(\frac{e^\delta}{(1 + \delta)^{(1+\delta)}} \right)^\nu$$

1. Show that the above bound is correct.
2. Prove a similar bound for the probability $\Pr(W \leq (1 - \delta)\nu)$ for any $0 < \delta < 1$.

[Solution]: (1) Since $W = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i$, we have

$$\nu = E[W] = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i E[X_i] = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i p_i$$

For any i ,

$$E[e^{ta_i X_i}] = p_i e^{ta_i} + (1 - p_i) = 1 + p_i(e^{ta_i} - 1) \leq e^{p_i(e^{ta_i} - 1)}$$

Claim 1. For any $x \in [0, 1]$, $e^{tx} - 1 \leq x(e^t - 1)$

$$f(x) = x(e^t - 1) - e^{tx} + 1$$

$$\Rightarrow f'(x) = (e^t - 1) - te^{tx}$$

$$\Rightarrow f'(x) = 0 \text{ (when } x = x^* = (\ln(e^t - 1) - \ln t)/t)$$

$$\Rightarrow f''(x) = -t^2 e^{tx} \leq 0$$

In other words, for $x \in [0, 1]$, $f(x)$ achieves minimum value either at $f(0)$ or $f(1)$. So $f(x) \geq \min\{f(0), f(1)\} = 0$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$.

[Solution]: Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{eta_i X_i}] \leq e^{p_i(e^{ta_i}-1)} \leq e^{a_i p_i(e^t-1)},$$

By the independence of X_i 's and property of MGF,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{tW}] = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[e^{ta_i X_i}] \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[e^{a_i p_i(e^t-1)}] = e^{\nu(e^t-1)}$$

For any $t > 0$, we have

$$\Pr(W \geq (1 + \delta)\nu) = \Pr(e^{tW} \geq e^{t(1+\delta)\nu}) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{tW}]}{e^{t(1+\delta)\nu}} \leq \frac{e^{\nu(e^t-1)}}{e^{t(1+\delta)\nu}}$$

Then, for any $\delta > 0$, we can set $t = \ln(1 + \delta) > 0$ and obtain:

$$\Pr(W \geq (1 + \delta)\nu) < \left(\frac{e^\delta}{(1 + \delta)^{(1+\delta)}} \right)^\nu$$

[Solution]: (2) For any $t < 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pr(W \leq (1 - \delta)\nu) \\ &= \Pr(e^{tW} \geq e^{t(1-\delta)\nu}) \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{tW}]}{e^{t(1-\delta)\nu}} \\ &\leq \frac{e^{\nu(e^t - 1)}}{e^{t(1-\delta)\nu}} \end{aligned}$$

Then, for any $0 < \delta < 1$, we can set $t = \ln(1 - \delta) < 0$ and obtain:

$$\Pr(W \leq (1 - \delta)\nu) < \left(\frac{e^\delta}{(1 - \delta)^{(1-\delta)}} \right)^\nu$$

[Question 6]:(30%) Consider a collection X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n of n independent geometric random variables with parameter $1/2$. Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $0 < \delta < 1$.

1. By applying Chernoff bound to a sequence of $(1 + \delta)(2n)$ fair coin tosses, show that

$$\Pr(X > (1 + \delta)(2n)) < \exp\left(\frac{-n\delta^2}{2(1 + \delta)}\right).$$

[Solution]:(1)

X_i : a sequence of coin flips until the first heads comes.

$\sum X_i$: a sequence of coin flips until we see the n -th head.

$X > (1 + \delta)2n$: the n -th head does not occur among the first $(1 + \delta)2n$ coin flips.

Let Y be the random variable giving the number of heads among the first $(1 + \delta)2n$ coin flips. Then we have

$$\Pr(X > (1 + \delta)2n) = \Pr(Y < n)$$

Noting that $E[Y] = (1 + \delta)n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(X > (1 + \delta)2n) &= \Pr(Y < n) \\ &= \Pr\left(Y < \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta}\right)(1 + \delta)n\right) \\ &\leq \exp\left(-(1 + \delta)n \cdot \frac{\delta^2}{2(1 + \delta)^2}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{n\delta^2}{2(1 + \delta)}\right)\end{aligned}$$

2. Derive a Chernoff bound on $\Pr(X > (1 + \delta)(2n))$ using the moment generating function for geometric random variables as follows:

(i) Show that for $e^t < 2$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{tX_i} \right] = \frac{e^t}{2 - e^t}.$$

(ii) Show that for $t \in (0, \ln 2)$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{(2 - e^t)e^{t(1+2\delta)}} \right| \text{ is minimized when } t = \ln \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{(1 + \delta)} \right).$$

(iii)

Show that

$$\Pr(X > (1 + \delta)(2n)) < \left(\left(1 - \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} \right)^{1+2\delta} \right)^{-n}.$$

[Solution]:(2-i)

Recall that $e^t < 2$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} E[e^{tX_i}] &= \frac{1}{2}e^t + \frac{1}{4}e^2t + \frac{1}{8}e^3t + \dots \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{e^t}{2}\right)^k \\ &= \frac{e^t/2}{1 - e^t/2} \\ &= \frac{e^t}{2 - e^t} \end{aligned}$$

(2-ii)

Use differentiation with respect to t .

[Solution]:(2-iii) Apply Markov inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(X > (1 + \delta)2n) &= \Pr(\exp(tX) > \exp(t(1 + \delta)2n)) \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[\exp(tX)]}{\exp(t(1 + \delta)2n)} \\ &= \frac{\prod \mathbb{E}[\exp(tX_i)]}{\exp(t(1 + \delta)2n)}\end{aligned}$$

Combine former results and apply $t = \ln(1 + \frac{\delta}{1+\delta})$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(X > (1 + \delta)2n) &= \frac{\prod \mathbb{E}[\exp(tX_i)]}{\exp(t(1 + \delta)2n)} \\ &\leq \frac{e^{tn}}{(2 - e^t)e^{t(1+\delta)2n}} \\ &= \left(\left(1 - \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta}\right)^{1+2\delta} \right)^{-n}\end{aligned}$$

3. It is known that when δ is small, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$1 - \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} > e^{-\epsilon}, \quad \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta}\right)^{(1+\delta)/\delta} > e^{1-\epsilon},$$

and $\frac{(1 + 2\delta)\delta}{1 + \delta} > \delta^2.$

Show that in this case, the bound in 6(b)-(iii) becomes

$$\Pr(X > (1 + \delta)(2n)) < \exp(-n(1 - \epsilon)\delta^2 - \epsilon).$$

Conclude that when δ is small enough such that ϵ is arbitrarily close to 0, the above bound is tighter than the bound obtained in 6(a).

[Solution]:(3) By substitution

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\left(1 - \frac{\delta}{1+\delta} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{1+\delta} \right)^{1+2\delta} \right)^{-n} \\ & \leq \left(\exp \left(-\epsilon + (1-\epsilon) \left(\frac{\delta^2}{1+\delta} + \delta \right) \right) \right)^{-n} \\ & \leq \exp \left(-n((1-\epsilon)\delta^2 - \epsilon) \right) \end{aligned}$$