

# CS5314 RANDOMIZED ALGORITHMS

## Homework 2

Due: 13:10, Nov 10, 2009 (before class)

1. (10%) A fixed point of a permutation  $\pi : [1, n] \rightarrow [1, n]$  is a value for which  $\pi(x) = x$ . Find the variance in the number of fixed points of a permutation chosen uniformly at random from all permutations.

*Hint:* Let  $X_i$  be an indicator such that  $X_i = 1$  if  $\pi(i) = i$ . Then,  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is the number of fixed points. You cannot use linearity to find  $\text{Var}[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i]$ , but you can calculate it directly.

2. Recall that the covariance of random variables  $X$  and  $Y$  is:

$$\text{Cov}[X, Y] = \text{E}[(X - \text{E}[X])(Y - \text{E}[Y])].$$

We have seen that if  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent, then the covariance is 0. Interestingly, if  $X$  and  $Y$  are not independent, the covariance may still be 0.

(15%) Construct an example where  $X$  and  $Y$  are not independent, yet  $\text{Cov}[X, Y] = 0$ .

3. The weak law of large numbers state that, if  $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots$  are independent and identically distributed random variables with finite mean  $\mu$  and finite standard deviation  $\sigma$ , then for any constant  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr \left( \left| \frac{X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + \dots + X_n}{n} - \mu \right| > \varepsilon \right) = 0$$

(15%) Use Chebyshev's inequality to prove the weak law of large numbers.

4. (20%) Suppose you are given a biased coin that has  $\Pr(\text{head}) = p$ . Also, suppose that we know  $p \geq a$ , for some fixed  $a$ . Now, consider flipping the coin  $n$  times and let  $n_H$  be the number of times a head comes up. Naturally, we would estimate  $p$  by the value  $\tilde{p} = n_H/n$ .

(a) Show that for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\Pr(|p - \tilde{p}| > \epsilon p) < \exp\left(\frac{-na\epsilon^2}{2}\right) + \exp\left(\frac{-na\epsilon^2}{3}\right)$$

(b) Show that for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ , if

$$n > \frac{3 \ln(2/\delta)}{a\epsilon^2},$$

then

$$\Pr(|p - \tilde{p}| > \epsilon p) < \delta.$$

5. (20%) Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be independent Poisson trials such that  $\Pr(X_i) = p_i$ . Let  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  and  $\mu = \text{E}[X]$ . During the class, we have learnt that for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\Pr(X \geq (1 + \delta)\mu) < \left( \frac{e^\delta}{(1 + \delta)^{(1 + \delta)}} \right)^\mu$$

In fact, the above inequality holds for the *weighted* sum of Poisson trials. Precisely, let  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be real numbers in  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $W = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i$  and  $\nu = E[W]$ . Then, for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\Pr(W \geq (1 + \delta)\nu) < \left( \frac{e^\delta}{(1 + \delta)^{(1 + \delta)}} \right)^\nu$$

(a) Show that the above bound is correct.

(b) Prove a similar bound for the probability  $\Pr(W \leq (1 - \delta)\nu)$  for any  $0 < \delta < 1$ .

6. (30%) Consider a collection  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  of  $n$  independent geometric random variables with parameter  $1/2$ . Let  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  and  $0 < \delta < 1$ .

(a) By applying Chernoff bound to a sequence of  $(1 + \delta)(2n)$  fair coin tosses,<sup>†</sup> show that

$$\Pr(X > (1 + \delta)(2n)) < \exp\left(\frac{-n\delta^2}{2(1 + \delta)}\right).$$

(b) Derive a Chernoff bound on  $\Pr(X > (1 + \delta)(2n))$  using the moment generating function for geometric random variables as follows:

(i) Show that for  $e^t < 2$ ,

$$E[e^{tX_i}] = \frac{e^t}{2 - e^t}.$$

(ii) Show that for  $t \in (0, \ln 2)$ ,

$$\left| \frac{1}{(2 - e^t)e^{t(1 + 2\delta)}} \right| \text{ is minimized when } t = \ln\left(1 + \frac{\delta}{(1 + \delta)}\right).$$

(iii) Show that

$$\Pr(X > (1 + \delta)(2n)) < \left( \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta}\right)^{1 + 2\delta} \right)^{-n}.$$

(c) It is known that when  $\delta$  is small, there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$1 - \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} > e^{-\varepsilon}, \quad \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta}\right)^{(1 + \delta)/\delta} > e^{1 - \varepsilon}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{(1 + 2\delta)\delta}{1 + \delta} > \delta^2.$$

Show that in this case, the bound in 5(b)-(iii) becomes

$$\Pr(X > (1 + \delta)(2n)) < \exp(-n(1 - \varepsilon)\delta^2 - \varepsilon).$$

Conclude that when  $\delta$  is small enough such that  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrarily close to 0, the above bound is tighter than the bound obtained in 5(a).

7. (Bonus: 10%) Let  $S$  be a set of  $n$  numbers. The median-finding algorithm discussed in class finds the median of  $S$  with high probability, and its running time is  $2n + o(n)$ . Can you generalize this algorithm so that it can find the  $k$ th smallest item of  $S$  for any given value of  $k$ ?

Prove that your resulting algorithm is correct, and bound its running time. (Better bounds may get better grades.)

---

<sup>†</sup>Here, we just assume  $(1 + \delta)(2n)$  is an integer.