Tutorial II

Outline

- Sampling using fewer random bits
- Solution of Assignment 1
- Hint of Assignment 2

- Let L be a language and A be a randomized algorithm for deciding whether an input string x belongs to L or not
- Given any x, suppose that A can pick a random number r from the range Z_n = { 0, 1,..., n-1 } where n is a prime, with the following property:
 - If $x \in L$, A(x,r) = 1 for at least half the possible choices of r
 - If $x \notin L$, A(x,r) = 0 for all possible choices of r

- We want to increase the correct probability
 The algorithm multiple times
- Pick t > 1 values, $r_1, r_2, ..., r_t \in Z_n$
- Compute $A(x, r_j)$ for j = 1, ..., t
- If for any j, $A(x, r_j) = 1$, we declare $x \in L$
- The error probability of this algo is at most 2^{-t}
- Uses *t* log n random bits

- In fact, we can use fewer random bits, and still increase the probability
- Choose *a*, *b* randomly from Z_n
- Let $r_j = aj + b \mod n, j = 1, ..., t$
- Compute $A(x, r_j)$ for j = 1, ..., t
- If for any j, $A(x, r_j) = 1$, we declare $x \in L$
- Uses 2 log n random bits

What is the error probability?

- Claim: *r_i*'s are pairwise independent (why?)
- Proof: For any *j* and *k*,
 - 1. $Pr(r_j = c) = Pr(aj + b = c) = n/n^2 = 1/n$ when *j* is fixed, there are exactly n choices of (*a*,*b*) such that $r_j = c$ Similarly, $Pr(r_k = d) = 1/n$
 - 2. $Pr((r_j = c) \cap (r_k = d)) = 1/n^2$ when *j* and *k* are fixed, there is exactly 1 choice of (*a*,*b*) such that $r_j = c$ and $r_k = d$

So,
$$Pr((r_j = c) \cap (r_k = d)) = Pr(r_j = c) Pr(r_k = d)$$

 \rightarrow r_j 's are pairwise independent

• Let
$$Y = \sum_{j=1 \text{ to } t} A(x, r_j)$$

- Let Z be the value of Y when given $x \in L$
- $E[Z] \ge t/2$, Var[Z] = t/4, $\sigma[Z] = \sqrt{t/2}$

•
$$Pr(error) = Pr(Z=0)$$

 $\leq Pr(|Z - E[Z]| \geq t/2)$
 $= Pr(|Z - E[Z]| \geq \sqrt{t(\sigma[Z])}]$
 $\leq 1/t$

where the last inequality follows from Chebyshev

 The proof of principle of inclusionexclusion

$$Pr\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E_{i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Pr(E_{i}) - \sum_{i < j} \Pr(E_{i} \cap E_{j}) + \sum_{i < j < k} \Pr(E_{i} \cap E_{j} \cap E_{k})$$
$$- \dots + (-1)^{\ell+1} \sum_{i_{1} < i_{2} < \dots < i_{\ell}} \Pr\left(\bigcap_{r=1}^{\ell} E_{i_{r}}\right)$$
$$+ \dots + (-1)^{n} \Pr\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} E_{i}\right).$$

• Hint: by induction

Assignment 1 – problem 1 (cont)

Another simple proof:

• x in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E_i$, x is exactly k of sets E_i , the number of times x contributes Pr(x) to the RHS is equal to:

$$Pr\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E_{i}\right) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Pr(E_{i})\right) + \left(\sum_{i < j} \Pr(E_{i} \cap E_{j})\right) + \left(\sum_{i < j < k} \Pr(E_{i} \cap E_{j} \cap E_{k})\right)$$
$$- \dots + (-1)^{\ell+1} \sum_{i_{1} < i_{2} < \dots < i_{\ell}} \Pr\left(\bigcap_{r=1}^{\ell} E_{i_{r}}\right)$$
$$+ \dots + (-1)^{n} \Pr\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} E_{i}\right).$$

Assignment 1 – problem 1 (cont)

 $C(k,1) - C(k,2) + C(k,3) - \dots - (-1)^{k}C(k,k) = ?$

Firstly, $0 = (1-1)^k$ Also, $(1-1)^k = 1 - C(k,1) + C(k,2) + \dots + (-1)^k C(k,k)$ So, $C(k,1) - C(k,2) + C(k,3) - \dots - (-1)^k C(k,k) = 1$

x contributes Pr(x) exactly once on both sides of the equation

- the values of *F* are stored in a lookup table,
 1/5 of the lookup table entries are changed
- $F((x + y) \mod n) = (F(x) + F(y)) \mod m$
- Give input z, F(z)?
- Hint: If F(*z*) is changed, you never get correct answer. You can use the above formula.

Assignment 1 – problem 2 (cont)

(a)

- Randomly choose a number x, and get y such that z = ((x+y) mod n)
- Return $(F(x)+F(y)) \mod m$ as F(z)
- The probability that F(z) is correct is at least
 1 Pr((F(x) is changed) ∪ (F(y) is changed))
 ≥ 1 Pr(F(x) is changed) Pr(F(y) is changed)

= 1 - 1/5 - 1/5 = 3/5

Assignment 1 – problem 2 (cont)

(b)

- Repeat three times, and choose the repeated values. If all the values are different, pick one randomly
- Pr(three times are the same and correct)

 \geq (3/5)³ = 27/125

- Pr(exactly two times are the same and correct) $\geq 3^*(3/5)^2(2/5) = 54/125 \dots$ (why?)
- $Pr(F(z) \text{ is correct}) \ge 81/125 \ge 3/5$

- Describe a randomized algorithm for finding an r-cut with minimum number of edges.
- Hint: r-cut is a general case of 2-cut.

Assignment 1 – problem 3 (cont)

- 2-cut : reduce the number of vertexes until the graph consists of 2 remaining vertices.
- r-cut : reduce the number of vertexes until the graph consists of r remaining vertices.
- 2-cut: contracted n-2 times
- r-cut: contracted n-r times

Assignment 1 – problem 3 (cont)

- Pr(the algorithm is correct) is a bit tricky to analyze.
- Please see the solution of HW 1 when it is posted

- The expected number of fixed points (π(x)=x) in permutation π
 - $X_i = 1$ if $\pi(i) = i$
 - $-X_i = 0$ otherwise

$$- E[X_i] = 1^* Pr(\pi(i)=i) = 1^* ((n-1)!/n!) = 1/n$$

– The expected number of fixed points in $\boldsymbol{\pi}$

$$= E(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E(X_{i}) = n*(1/n) = 1$$

- Interview problem:
 - First interview m candidates but reject them all
 - From the (m+1)th candidate, hire the first candidate who is better than all of the previous candidates you have interviewed
- Hint: *Ei* be the event that the *i*th candidate is the best and we hire him

Assignment 1 – problem 5 (cont)

- Let E_i be the event that the *i*th candidate is the best and we hire him
 - $-A_i$: the event that the *i*th candidate is the best
 - → $Pr(A_i) = 1/n$
 - $-B_i$: the event that we hire him
 - → $\Pr(B_i) = 0$ (if $i \le m$)

 $Pr(B_i) = m / (i-1)$ (otherwise)

the best of the first *i* -1 people is between 1 to *m*

-
$$A_i$$
 and B_i are independent $\rightarrow Pr(E_i) = Pr(A_i) * Pr(B_i)$

-
$$E_i$$
 are disjoint $\rightarrow \Pr(E) = \sum_{i=1}^n \Pr(Ei) = \frac{m}{n} \sum_{j=m+1}^n \frac{1}{j-1}$.

- Prove that $E[X^k] \ge E[X]^k$ for any positive even integer *k*.
- Solution 1: (Directly from Jensen's Inequality) Fact: If f is a convex function, $E[f(x)] \ge f(E[x])$ Note: $f(x) = X^k$ is convex, since for pos. even k $f''(x) = k(k-1)X^{k-2} \ge 0$

Assignment 1 – problem 6 (cont)

Solution 2: (By induction)

- Base case: true for k = 2
- Inductive case: Claim: $E[(X^{k} - E[X]^{k})(X^{2} - E[X]^{2})] \ge 0 \dots (why?)$

Also, $E[(X^{k} - E[X]^{k})(X^{2} - E[X]^{2})]$ = $E[X^{2+k} - X^{k}E[X]^{2} - X^{2}E[X]^{k} + E[X]^{2+k}]$ = $E[X^{2+k}] - E[X^{k}E[X]^{2}] - E[X^{2}E[X]^{k}] + E[E[X]^{2+k}]$ = $E[X^{2+k}] - E[X^{k}]E[X]^{2} - E[X^{2}]E[X]^{k} + E[X]^{2+k}$ $\leq E[X^{2+k}] - E[X]^{k}E[X]^{2} - E[X]^{2}E[X]^{k} + E[X]^{2+k}$

 $= E[X^{2+k}] - E[X]^{2+k} \rightarrow \text{proof completes}$

- The variance in the number of fixed points (π(x)=x) in permutation π.
- Hint: You cannot use linearity to find the variance, but you can calculate it directly.

- Generalize the median-finding algorithm to find the kth largest item in a set of n items.
 Prove that your resulting algorithm is correct, and bound its running time.
- Hint: 1. How to get the d and u in R
 - 2. You must be careful, when you bound the probability that the algorithm outputs FAIL.

Proof the weak law of large numbers

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \Pr\left(\left| \frac{X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n}{n} - \mu \right| > \varepsilon \right) = 0.$$

• Hint: Chebyshev's inequality

- Consider a collection X_1, \dots, X_n of *n* independent integers chosen uniformly at random from the set $\{0, 1, 2\}$. Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $0 < \delta < 1$.
- Derive a Chernoff bound for $Pr(X \ge (1 + \delta)n)$ and $Pr(X \le (1 \delta)n)$.
- Hint: define new random variable Y which is related to X (the idea is the same as Corollary 4.9)

• Weighted sum of Poisson trials. Let $a_1, a_2, ...,$ an be real numbers in [0,1]. $W = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i X_i$, show the bound

$$\Pr(W \ge (1+\delta)\nu) < \left(\frac{e^{\delta}}{(1+\delta)^{(1+\delta)}}\right)^{\nu}.$$

• Hint: to prove $e^{tai} - 1 \le a_i(e^t - 1)$