CS 2336 Discrete Mathematics

Lecture 17 Trees: Optimal Prefix Code

Outline

- Text Encoding Problem
- Prefix Code
- Optimal Prefix Code

Encoding to Reduce Storage

- In ASCII, each English character is represented in the same number of bits (8 bits)
- This is called **fixed-length** encoding
 - ➔ If a text contains n characters, it takes 8n bits in total to store the text in ASCII
- However, if our target is to reduce the storage, some better schemes can be designed
 - ➔ This is what the tools, such as zip, 7zip, gzip, are targeting

Encoding to Reduce Storage

• The reason why better schemes exist is as follows:

In real-life English texts, characters do not appear with the same frequency

- If we can make a trade-off, so that
 - 1. frequent characters are encoded in fewer bits
 - 2. infrequent characters are encoded in more bits then we can reduce the total storage!
- This is called a variable-length encoding

Example

• Suppose we have a 100K char file, with characters A, B, C, D, E only

– A occurs 45K times, others each 11K times

- Using fixed-length : Each character in 3 bits ; Total = 300K
- Using variable-length :

 $A \rightarrow 0$, $B \rightarrow 100$, $C \rightarrow 101$, $D \rightarrow 110$, $E \rightarrow 111$

Total = $45K \times 1 + 55K \times 3 = 210K$ (30% savings!)

Example

• Thinking a step ahead, we may consider the following "better" scheme :

$\textbf{A} \rightarrow \textbf{0}, \ \textbf{B} \rightarrow \textbf{1}, \ \textbf{C} \rightarrow \textbf{00}, \ \textbf{D} \rightarrow \textbf{01}, \ \textbf{E} \rightarrow \textbf{010}$

- This scheme requires less storage, because each character is encoded in fewer bits
- What's wrong with this encoding ?

Prefix Code

$A \rightarrow 0$, $B \rightarrow 1$, $C \rightarrow 00$, $D \rightarrow 01$, $E \rightarrow 010$

- Suppose the encoded text is : 0101
- We cannot tell if the original is ABAB or ABD or DAB or DD or EB
- The problem comes from

one codeword is a prefix of another

Prefix Code

- To avoid the problem, we generally want that each codeword is NOT a prefix of another
- Such an encoding scheme is called a prefix code, or prefix-free code
- For a text encoded by a prefix code, we can easily decode it in the following way :

 $\underbrace{\underbrace{01010001000101000101000}_{\textcircled{1}}...}_{\textcircled{2}}$

Scan from left to right to extract the first code
 Recursively decode the remaining part

Prefix Code Tree

- Naturally, a prefix code scheme corresponds to a prefix code tree
- The tree is a rooted, with
 - 1. each edge is labeled by a bit ;
 - 2. each leaf \rightarrow a character ;
 - 3. labels on root-to-leaf path → codeword for the character
- E.g., $A \rightarrow 0$, $B \rightarrow 100$, $C \rightarrow 101$, $D \rightarrow 110$, $E \rightarrow 111$



Problem : Given the frequencies of each character, design the optimal prefix code whose encoded text requires the least storage

 Equivalently, we want to find a prefix code tree that corresponds to an optimal prefix code
 What do we know about the tree ?

Observation 1 : In an optimal prefix code tree, each internal node must have two children



Observation 2 : There is an optimal prefix code tree, such that the leaves corresponding to the two least frequent characters are siblings, and the leaves are farthest from the root.

Proof : Consider an optimal prefix code tree.
 Let y and z be the least frequent characters ;
 Let x be a character whose leaf is farthest from the root (its sibling must be a leaf for some char x')

• Then, we can obtain a desired tree, as follows :



optimal prefix code tree

as good as optimal

- Let y and z be the two least frequent characters
 Let T be an optimal tree such that y and z are sibling leaves and farthest from the root
- Form a new text as follows : Replace each y and z by a common character c in the original text

Observation 3: If we merge x, y, and their parent into a leaf in T, and correspond this leaf to c, we get an optimal prefix code tree for the new text

• Graphically, the observation says :



optimal prefix code tree for original text optimal prefix code tree for new text

- Based on the previous observations, we get a way (discovered by David Huffman in 1952) to obtain an optimal prefix code :
 - 1. Find the least frequent characters x and y
 - 2. Form two leaves for x and y, and join them with a common parent p
 - 3. Replace x and y by a common character c
 - 4. Recursively find the optimal prefix code tree for the new text (and replace the leaf for c with p, x, y)

Example

• Suppose the relative frequencies are as follows :

A:40, B:20, C:15, D:50, E:25

