CS 2336 Discrete Mathematics

Lecture 5 Proofs: Mathematical Induction

Outline

- What is a Mathematical Induction ?
- Strong Induction
- Common Mistakes

Introduction

• What is the formula of the sum of the first n positive odd integers?

$$1 = 1$$

$$1 + 3 = 4$$

$$1 + 3 + 5 = 9$$

$$1 + 3 + 5 + 7 = 16$$

$$1 + 3 + 5 + 7 + 9 = 25$$

Introduction

- It is reasonable to guess that the sum is n²
- To do so, we may use a method, called mathematical induction, to prove that the guess is correct
- How to do that ?

Mathematical Induction

• Firstly, to simplify the discussion, we define a propositional function P(n), where

P(n) := "The sum of first n positive odd integers is n²"

so that our target is to show $\forall n P(n)$ is true

Mathematical Induction

- Next, we are going to show the following two statements to be true:
 - 1. P(1), called basic step
 - 2. $\forall n (P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1))$, called inductive step, where domain of n is all positive integers
- If both can be shown true, then we can conclude that ∀n P(n) is true [why?]

Correctness of Mathematical Induction

• The correctness is based on the following axiom on positive integers:

Well-Ordering Property : Every non-empty collection of non-negative integers has a smallest element

• Using well-ordering property, we can prove that mathematical induction is correct

Correctness of Mathematical Induction

• Proof :

Suppose on the contrary that the two statements are true, but the conclusion $\forall n P(n)$ is not true.

Then \exists n \neg P(n), so that by the well-ordering property, there is a smallest k with \neg P(k) is true.

This k cannot be 1 (by basic step). Then, k - 1 is positive, so that P(k - 1) is true (by the choice of k).

Thus P(k) is true (by P(k – 1) and inductive step), and a contradiction occurs.

• We let

P(n) := "The sum of first n positive odd integers is n²"

and we hope to use mathematical induction to show $\forall n P(n)$ is true

- Can we show the basic step to be true?
- Can we show the inductive step to be true?

• Can we show the basic step to be true?

• The basic step is P(1), which is :

P(1) := "The sum of first 1 positive odd integers is 1²"

This is obviously true.

- Can we show the inductive step to be true?
- The inductive step is $\forall n (P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1))$
- To show it is true, we focus on an arbitrary chosen k, and see if $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$ is true

- If so, by universal generalization, $\forall n (P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1))$ is true

• Suppose that P(k) is true. That is,

- This implies $1 + 3 + ... + (2k 1) = k^2$.
- Then, we have

$$\begin{array}{rl} 1+3+...+(2k-1)+(2k+1) &=& k^2+(2k+1)\\ &=& (k+1)^2, \end{array}$$

so that P(k+1) is true if P(k) is true.

Remark

Note: When we show that the inductive step is true, we do not show P(k+1) is true.
 Instead, we show the conditional statement
 P(k) → P(k+1) is true.

This allows us to use P(k) as the premise, and gives us an easier way to show P(k+1)

 Once basic step and inductive step are proven, by mathematical induction, ∀n P(n) is true

Remark

- Mathematical induction is a very powerful technique, because we show just two statements, but this can imply infinite number of cases to be correct
- However, the technique does not help us find new theorems. In fact, we have to obtain the theorem (by guessing) in the first place, and induction is then used to formally confirm the theorem is correct

More Examples

- Ex 1: Show that for all positive integer n, $n \ < \ 2^n$
- Ex 2: Show that for all positive integer n,
 n³ n is divisible by 3
- Ex 3: Show that for all positive integer n,
 1² + 2² + 3² + ... + n² = n(n+1)(2n+1) / 6

Using a Different Basic Step

• When we apply the induction technique, it is not necessary to have P(1) as the basic step

 We may replace the basic step by P(k) for some fixed k. If both basic step and inductive step are true, this will imply that
 ∀n ≥ k (P(n))

More Examples

- Ex 4: Show that for all positive integer $n \ge 4$, $2^n < n!$
- Ex 5: Show that for all non-negative integer n, $1 + 2 + ... + 2^n = 2^{n+1} - 1$
- Ex 6: Show that for non-negative integer n, $7^{n+2} + 8^{2n+1}$ is divisible by 57

Interesting Examples

Snowball Fight


- There are 2n + 1 people
- Each must throw to the nearest
- All with distinct distance apart
- Show that at least one is not hit by any snowball



Interesting Examples

Tiling (Again!)

- A big square of size $2^n \times 2^n$
- Somewhere inside, a 1 × 1 small square is removed
- Show that the remaining board can always be tiled by L-shaped dominoes :



each consists of three 1×1 squares

Strong Induction

• An alternative form of induction, called strong induction, uses a different inductive step:

 $\forall n ((P(1) \land P(2) \land \ldots \land P(n)) \rightarrow P(n+1))$

- The basic step is still to prove P(1) to be true
- Again, if both the basic and inductive steps are true, then we can conclude that ∀n P(n) is true [how?]

• Ex 1:

Define the nth Fibonacci number, F_n, as follows:

$$F_0 = 1$$
, $F_1 = 1$,
 $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$, when $n \ge 2$

By the above recursive definition, we get the first few Fibonacci numbers :

1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, ...

Ex 1 (continued):
 Show that F_n can be computed by the formula

$$F_{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{n+1} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{n+1}$$

- Ex 2: Quicksort is a recursive algorithm for sorting a collection of distinct numbers :
 - 1. If there is at most 1 number to sort, done
 - Else, pick any number x from the collection, and use x to divide the remaining numbers into two groups:

those smaller than x, those larger than x. Next, apply Quicksort to sort each group (putting x in-between afterwards)

- For instance, suppose the input collection of numbers contains 1, 4, 3, 10, 7, 2
- First round, say we pick x = 3
- Then we will form two groups S and L:
 S = { 1, 2 } and L = { 4, 10, 7 }
- After that, we apply Quicksort on each group, and in the end, we report

Quicksort(S), x, Quicksort(L)


• Ex 2 (continued):

Show that Quicksort can correctly sort any collection of n distinct numbers


Interesting Example

Peg Solitaire

- There are pegs on a board
- A peg can jump over another one into an adjacent empty square, so that the jumpedover peg is eliminated
- Target: Can we eliminate all but one peg ?







Interesting Example

- Show that if we start with n × n pegs (arranged as a square) on a board with infinite size, and n is not divisible by 3, then we can eliminate all but one peg
- Hint: Let P(n) denote the above proposition.
 Show that P(1) and P(2) are true, and for all n,
 P(3n+1) → P(3n+5), P(3n+2) → P(3n+4) are true

Common Mistakes

Show that


P(n) = "any n cats will have the same color" is true for all positive integer n.

Proof: The basic step P(1) is obviously true.
 Next, assume P(k) is true. Then, when we have k + 1 cats, we can remove one of them, say y, so that by P(k), they will have the same color

Common Mistakes

• Proof (continued):

Now, we exchange the removed cat with one of the other k cats :



Common Mistakes

• Proof (continued):

Then, by P(k) again, y must have the same color as the other k - 1 cats.

This implies all the cats are of the same color!

• What's wrong with the proof?

