

CS5371
Theory of Computation

Lecture 23: Complexity VIII
(Space Complexity)

Objectives

- Introduce Space Complexity
- Savitch's Theorem
- The class PSPACE

Space Complexity

Definition [for DTM]: Let M be a DTM that halts on all inputs. The **space complexity** of M is a function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, where $f(n)$ is the maximum number of tape cells that M scans on any input of length n .

If the space complexity of M is $f(n)$, we say M runs in space $f(n)$

Space Complexity (2)

Definition [for NTM]: Let M be an NTM that all branches halt on all inputs. The **space complexity** of M , $f(n)$, will be the maximum number of tape cells that M scans on any branch of its computation for any input of length n .

Again, if the space complexity of M is $f(n)$, we say M runs in space $f(n)$

Space Complexity Classes

Definition: Let $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. We define two notation for describing **space complexity classes** as follows:

$SPACE(f(n)) = \{ L \mid L \text{ is a language decided by a DTM } M \text{ that runs in } f(n) \text{ space} \}$

$NSPACE(f(n)) = \{ L \mid L \text{ is a language decided by an NTM } M \text{ that runs in } f(n) \text{ space} \}$

Example 1

Theorem: **SAT** is in $SPACE(n)$

Proof: The following DTM **M** decides **SAT**:

M = "On input $\langle F \rangle$,

1. For each truth assignment,
 - (a) Evaluate **F** on that truth assignment
2. If **F** is evaluated to TRUE in some case, **accept**. Otherwise, **reject**."

The space usage is $O(\text{length of } \langle F \rangle)$. Why??

Example 2

Let ALL_{NFA} be the language

$$\{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is an NFA and } L(M) = \Sigma^* \}$$

Theorem: ALL_{NFA} is in $co\text{-NSPACE}(n)$. I.e., the complement of ALL_{NFA} is in $NSPACE(n)$.

Note that we still do not know if ALL_{NFA} is in NP, or in co-NP.

Example 2 (cont.)

Proof Idea: We shall construct an NTM S decides the complement of ALL_{NFA} .

To do so, on each input $\langle M \rangle$, we try to find a string that $\langle M \rangle$ rejects so as to show that it is in the complement of ALL_{NFA} .

The NTM S' in the next slide decides the complement of ALL_{NFA} :

Example 2 (cont.)

S' = "On input $\langle M \rangle$,

1. Place a marker on start state of NFA
2. Guess an input string w of length 2^q where q = number of states in M
3. Simulate the running of $\langle M \rangle$ on w , by updating the set of states with marker after reading a character from w
4. If at some point no accept states of M is marked, **accept**. Otherwise, **reject**."

Example 2 (cont.)

Question 1: Why is the previous decider correctly decides the complement of ALL_{NFA} ? Note that currently, only strings of length 2^q is examined...

Question 2: Is the space complexity $O(\text{length of input})$?

Example 2 (cont.)

The previous NTM S' has space problem...

We now modify it a bit to give S in the next slide, which decides the complement of ALL_{NFA} in $O(\text{length of input})$ space:

Example 2 (cont.)

S' = "On input $\langle M \rangle$,

1. Place a marker on start state of NFA
2. Repeat 2^q times, where q = number of states in M
 - (a) Guess the next input symbol and update the set of states with marker to simulate the reading of that symbol
3. If at some point no accept states of M is marked, **accept**. Otherwise, **reject**."

Savitch's Theorem

Theorem: Let $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function, with $f(n) \geq n$. Then,

$$\text{NSPACE}(f(n)) \subseteq \text{SPACE}((f(n))^2)$$

Proof: Let's do that later $\hat{_}$

PSPACE and NSPACE

Definition: **PSPACE** is the class of languages that are decidable in polynomial space by a DTM. In other words,

$$\text{PSPACE} = \bigcup_k \text{SPACE}(n^k)$$

Similarly, we can define **NPSPACE** to be the class of languages that are decidable in polynomial space by a NTM. So, what is the relationship between PSPACE and NPSPACE?

$PSPACE = NPSPACE$

Theorem: $PSPACE = NPSPACE$

Proof: By Savitch's Theorem.

PSPACE = co-NPSPACE

Theorem: PSPACE = co-NPSPACE

To prove $PSPACE \subseteq co-NPSPACE$, we see that $PSPACE = co-PSPACE$ (why?), and $co-PSPACE \subseteq co-NPSPACE$ (why?).

To prove $co-NPSPACE \subseteq PSPACE$, we see that $co-NPSPACE \subseteq co-PSPACE$ (Savitch's Theorem) and $PSPACE = co-PSPACE$.

P, NP, and PSPACE

Theorem: $P \subseteq PSPACE$

Proof: If a language is decided by some DTM M in $f(n)$ time, M cannot see more than $f(n)$ cells. Thus, $TIME(f(n)) \subseteq SPACE(f(n))$, so that $P \subseteq PSPACE$

Theorem: $NP \subseteq PSPACE$

PSPACE and EXPTIME

Theorem: $PSPACE \subseteq EXPTIME$

Proof: If a language is decided by some DTM M in $f(n)$ space (where $f(n) \geq n$), M can visit at most $f(n) 2^{O(f(n))}$ configurations (why?) Thus, M must run in $f(n) 2^{O(f(n))}$ time.

In other words, $SPACE(f(n)) \subseteq TIME(2^{O(f(n))})$, so that $PSPACE \subseteq EXPTIME$.

Summary

$P \subseteq NP \subseteq PSPACE = NPSPACE \subseteq EXPTIME$

It is shown in Chapter 9 that $P \neq EXPTIME$, so that we know at least one of the above containment (\subseteq) must be proper (\subset)

Unfortunately, at this moment, we still don't know which one(s) is proper. What most researchers **believe** is all are proper.

Next Time

- Savitch's Theorem
- PSPACE-complete
- L and NL
- NL-complete