

Introduction to Theory of Computation

Part IV-A: Time Complexities

About this Part

- What is **NP** ?
 - How to check if a problem is in **NP** ?
- Cook-Levin Theorem
 - Showing one of the most difficult problem in **NP**
- Problem Reduction
 - Finding other most difficult problems

Decision Problems

- When we receive a problem, the first thing concern is: whether the problem has a **solution** or not
- E.g., Peter gives us a map $G = (V, E)$, and he asks us if there is a path from A to B whose length is at most 100
- E.g., Your sister gives you a number, say **1111111111111111111** (19 one's), and asks you if this number is a prime

Decision Problems

- The problems in the previous page is called **decision problems**, because the answer is either **YES** or **NO**
- Some decision problems can be solved efficiently, using time **polynomial** to the **size of the input**
- We use **P** to denote the set of all these polynomial-time **solvable** problems

Decision Problems

E.g., For Peter's problem, there is an $O(V \log V + E)$ -time algorithm that finds the shortest path from A to B ;

→ we can first apply this algorithm and then give the correct answer

→ Peter's problem is in P

- Can you think of other problems in P ?

Decision Problems

- Another interesting classification of decision problems is to see if the problem can be **verified** in time **polynomial** to the **size of the input**
- Precisely, for such a decision problem, whenever it has an answer **YES**, we can :
 1. Ask for a short **proof**, and
/* short means : polynomial in size of input */
 2. Be able to verify the answer is **YES**

Decision Problems

E.g., In Peter's problem, if there is a path from **A** to **B** with length ≤ 100 , we can :

1. Ask for the sequence of vertices (with no repetition) in any path from **A** to **B** whose length ≤ 100
2. Check if it is a desired path (in poly-time)

→ this problem is polynomial-time verifiable

Polynomial-Time Verifiable

More examples:

Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, does the graph contain a Hamiltonian path?

Given a set of numbers, can be divide them into two groups such that their sum are the same?

Polynomial-Time Verifiable

- Now, imagine that we have a super-smart computer, such that for each decision problem given to it, it has the ability to guess a short **proof** (if there is one)
- With the help of this powerful computer, all polynomial-time verifiable problems can be solved in polynomial time (how?)

The Class NP

- Because of this, we use **NP** to denote the set of polynomial-time **verifiable** problems
 - **N** stands for non-deterministic guessing power of our computer
 - **P** stands for polynomial-time solvable

P and NP

- We can show that a problem is in **P** implies that it is in **NP** (why?)
 - Because if a problem is in **P**, and if its answer is **YES**, then there must be an algorithm that runs in polynomial-time to conclude **YES** ...
 - Then, the execution steps of this algorithm can be used as a "short" proof

P and NP

- On the other hand, after many people's efforts, some problems in **NP** (e.g., finding a Hamiltonian path) do not have a polynomial-time algorithm yet ...
- **Question:** Does that mean these problems are not in **P** ??
- The question whether **P = NP** is still open

Clay Mathematics Institute (CMI) offers US\$ 1 million for anyone who can answer this ...

P and NP

- So, the current status is :
 1. If a problem is in **P**, then it is in **NP**
 2. If a problem is in **NP**, it may be in **P**
- In the early 1970s, Stephen Cook and Leonid Levin (separately) discovered that: a problem in **NP**, called **SAT**, is very mysterious ...

Cook-Levin Theorem

If **SAT** is in **P**, then every problems in **NP** are also in **P**

- I.e., if **SAT** is in **P**, then **P = NP**

// Can Cook or Levin claim the money from CMI yet ?

- Intuitively, **SAT** must be one of the most difficult problems in **NP**
 - We call **SAT** an **NP-complete** problem (most difficult in **NP**)

Satisfiable Problem

- The **SAT** problem asks:
 - Given a Boolean formula **F**, such as
$$F = (x \vee y \vee \neg z) \wedge (\neg y \vee z) \wedge (\neg x)$$

is it possible to assign True/False to each variable, such that the overall value of **F** is true ?

Remark: If the answer is **YES**, **F** is a **satisfiable**, and so it is how the name **SAT** is from

Other NP-Complete Problems

- The proofs made by Cook and Levin is a bit complicated, because intuitively they need to show that no problems in **NP** can be more difficult than **SAT**
- However, since Cook and Levin, many people show that many other problems in **NP** are shown to be **NP-complete**
- How come many people can think of complicated proofs suddenly ??

Problem Reduction

- How these new problems are shown to be NP-complete rely on a new technique, called **reduction** (problem transformation)
- Basic Idea:
 - Suppose we have two problems, **A** and **B**
 - We know that **A** is very difficult
 - However, we know if we can solve **B**, then we can solve **A**
 - What can we conclude ??

Problem Reduction

- Now, consider
 - A = an NP-complete problem (e.g., SAT)
 - B = another problem in NP
 - Suppose that we can show that:
 1. we can transform a problem of A into a problem of B , using polynomial time
 2. We can answer A if we can answer B
- Then we can conclude B is NP-complete
- (Can you see why??)

Example

- Let us define two problems as follows :
- The **CLIQUE** problem:
Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, and an integer k , does the graph contain a complete graph with at least k vertices
- The **IND-SET** problem:
Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, and an integer k , does the graph contain k vertices such that there is no edge in between them ?

Example

- Questions:
 1. Are both problems decision problems ?
 2. Are both problems in NP ?
 - In fact, CLIQUE is NP-complete
 - Can we use reduction to show that IND-SET is also NP-complete ?
- [transform which problem to which??]