CS4311 Design and Analysis of Algorithms

Lecture 20: Data Structures for Disjoint Sets I

1

About this lecture

- Data Structure for Disjoint Sets
 - Support Union and Find operations
- Various Methods:
 - 1. Linked List

(this lecture)

- 2. Union by Size
- 3. Union by Rank
- 4. Union by Rank + Path Compression

Maintaining Disjoint Set

- In some applications, especially in algorithms relating to graphs, we often have a set of elements, and want to maintain a dynamic partition of them
 - I.e., the partition changes over time
- Our target corresponds to maintaining dynamic disjoint sets of the elements

Maintaining Disjoint Set

- Let $\Sigma = \{ S_1, S_2, ..., S_k \}$ be a collection of dynamic disjoint sets of the elements
- Let x and y be any two elements
- We want to support: Make-Set(x): create a set containing x Find(x): return which set x belongs Union(x,y): merge the sets containing x and containing y into one

Step 0: Begin with the input graph



Step 1: Make-Set(v) for each vertex v



current Σ : { {a}, {b}, {c}, {d}, {e}, {f}, {g}, {h} }

Step 2: Visit each edge (u,v), perform Union(u,v)



current Σ : { {a}, {b}, {c}, {d}, {e}, {f}, {g}, {h} }







After Step 2 (when all edges visited) : Each Disjoint Set \Leftrightarrow Connected Component



current Σ: { {a,b,c,d}, {e,f,g}, {h} }

Remarks

- To facilitate Find(x), each set usually chooses one of its element as a representative
 - Find(x) returns the representative element of the set where x belongs
- To check if x and y belong to the same set, we can just check if Find(x) == Find(y)

- A simple way to maintain disjoint sets is by using linked lists:
 - Each set \Rightarrow a separate linked list
 - Representative <> head element of list
- To facilitate Find and Union:
 - each element in the list has an extra pointer that points at head element
 - each list has a pointer to the tail

E.g., disjoint sets { {a,b,c,d}, {e,f,g}, {h} } is stored by:



- To perform Union(x,y), we join the lists containing x and containing y, one list after the other, and update the pointers of the latter list
- E.g. Union(g,h) in previous example gives:



- In the worst-case: Make-Set or Find : $\Theta(1)$ time Union : $\Theta(n)$ time
- → m operations on n elements : $\Theta(m + n^2)$ time

- Let us apply a weighted-union heuristic : To perform Union, we merge lists with longer one first, followed by shorter list
- No change in worst-case time, but ...
- m operations : $\Theta(m + n \log n)$ time

Reason: The time to perform Union is from changing head pointer of each element in the latter list With the heuristic, each element changes head pointer at most log n times (why??)