CS4311 Design and Analysis of Algorithms

Lecture 15: Amortized Analysis II

1

About this lecture

- Previous lecture shows Aggregate Method
- This lecture shows two more methods:
 - (2) Accounting Method
 - (3) Potential Method

Accounting Method

 In real life, a bank account allows us to save our excess money, and the money can be used later when needed



- We also have an easy way to check the savings
- In amortized analysis, the accounting method is very similar ...

Accounting Method

Each operation pays an amortized cost

- if amortized cost ≥ actual cost, we save the excess in the bank
- Else, we use savings to help the payment

Often, savings can be checked easily based on the objects in the current data structure

Lemma: For a sequence of operations, if we have enough to pay for each operation, total actual cost \leq total amortized cost

- Recall that apart from PUSH/POP, a super stack, supports:
 SUPER-POP(k): pop top k items in k time
- Let us now assign the amortized cost for each operation as follows: PUSH = \$2 POP or SUPER-POP = \$0

Questions:

- Which operation "saves money to the bank" when performed?
- Which operation "needs money from the bank" when performed?
- How to check the savings in the bank?

- Does our bank have enough to pay for each SUPER-POP operation?
- Ans. When SUPER-POP is performed, each popped item donates its corresponding \$1 to help the payment
 - Enough \$\$ to pay for each SUPER-POP

Conclusion:

- Amortized cost of PUSH = 2
- Amortized cost of POP/SUPER-POP = 0

Meaning: For any sequence of operations with #PUSH = n_1 , #POP = n_2 , #SUPER-POP = n_3 , total actual cost $\leq 2n_1$

 Let us use accounting method to analyze increment operation in a binary counter, whose initial count = 0



- We assign amortized cost for each increment = \$2
- Recall: actual cost = #bits flipped

Observation: In each increment operation, at most one bit is set from 0 to 1 (whereas the remaining bits are set from 1 to 0).



Lemma: Savings = # of 1's in the counter Proof: By induction

To show amortized cost = \$2 is enough,

- we use \$1 to pay for flipping some bit x from 0 to 1, and store the excess \$1
- For other bits being flipped (from 1 to 0), each donates its corresponding \$1 to help in paying the operation
- → Enough to pay for each increment 11

Conclusion:

Amortized cost of increment = 2

Meaning: For n increments (with initial count = 0) total actual cost $\leq 2n$

Question: What's wrong if initial count $\neq 0$?

Accounting Method (Remarks)

- In contrast to the aggregate method, the accounting method may assign different amortized costs to different operations
- Another thing: To help the analysis, we usually link each excess \$ to a specific object in the data structure (such as an item in a stack, or a bit in a binary counter)

→ called the credit stored in the object

 In physics, an object at a higher place has more potential energy (due to gravity) than an object at a lower place



- The potential energy can usually be measured by some function of the status of the object (in fact, its height)
- In amortized analysis, the potential method is very similar ...
 - It uses a potential function to measure the potential of a data structure, based on its current status

• Thus, potential of a data structure may increase or decrease after an operation

 The potential is similar to the \$ in the accounting method, which can be used to help in paying an operation

Each operation pays an amortized cost, and

- If potential increases by d after an operation, we need:
 amortized cost ≥ actual cost + d
- If potential decreases by d after an operation, we need:
 amortized cost + d ≥ actual cost

To combine the above, we let

- Φ = potential function
- D_i = data structure after ith operation
- c_i = actual cost of ith operation
- α_i = amortized cost of ith operation

Then, we always need:

$$\alpha_{i} \geq c_{i} + \Phi(D_{i}) - \Phi(D_{i-1})$$

 Because smaller amortized cost gives better (tighter) analysis, so in general, we set:

$$\alpha_i = c_i + \Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1})$$

 Consequently, after n operations, total amortized cost

= total actual cost + $\Phi(D_n) - \Phi(D_0)$

- Any Φ such that $\Phi(D_i) \ge \Phi(D_0)$ for all i should work, as it implies total amortized cost at any time \ge total actual cost at any time
- Our target is to find the best such Φ so that amortized cost can be minimized

- Let us now use potential method to analyze the operations on a super stack
- Define Φ such that for a super stack S

 $\Phi(S)$ = #items in S

• Thus we have:

 $\Phi(D_0) = 0$, and $\Phi(D_i) \ge \Phi(D_0)$ for all i

- PUSH increases potential by 1
 amortized cost of PUSH = 1 + 1 = 2
- POP decreases potential by 1
 - \rightarrow amortized cost of POP = 1 + (-1) = 0
- SUPER-POP(k) decreases potential by k
 - amortized cost of SUPER-POP

= k + (-k) = 0

[Assume: Stack has enough items before POP/SUPER-POP]

Conclusion:

Because

- $\Phi(D_0) = 0$, and $\Phi(D_i) \ge \Phi(D_0)$ for all i,
- \rightarrow total amortized cost \geq total actual cost

Then, by setting amortized cost for each operation accordingly (according to what??): amortized cost = O(1)

- Let us now use potential method to analyze the increment in a binary counter
- Define Φ such that for a binary counter B $\Phi(B) = \#bits$ in B which are 1
- Thus we have:

 $\Phi(D_0) = 0$, and $\Phi(D_i) \ge \Phi(D_0)$ for all i Assume: initial count = 0

- From our previous observation, at most 1 bit is set from 0 to 1, the corresponding increase in potential is at most 1
- Now, suppose the ith operation resets t_i
 bits from 1 to 0
 - \rightarrow actual cost $c_i = t_i + 1$
 - → potential change = $(-t_i) + 1$
 - \rightarrow amortized cost α_i

 $= c_i + potential change = 2$

Conclusion:

Because

- $\Phi(D_0) = 0$, and $\Phi(D_i) \ge \Phi(D_0)$ for all i,
- \rightarrow total amortized cost \geq total actual cost

Then, by setting amortized cost for each operation accordingly: amortized cost = 2 = 0(1)

Potential Method (Remarks)

- Potential method is very similar to the accounting method: we can save something (\$/potential) now, which can be used later
- It usually gives a neat analysis, as the cost of each operation is very specific
- However, finding a good potential function can be extremely difficult (like magic)
 - Analyzing Union-Find data structure