

CS4311
Design and Analysis of
Algorithms

Lecture 12: Dynamic Programming IV

Subsequence of a String

- Let $S = s_1s_2\dots s_m$ be a string of length m
- Any string of the form

$$s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_k}$$

with $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k$ is a **subsequence** of S

- E.g., if $S = \text{farmers}$
 - fame, arm, mrs, farmers, are some of the subsequences of S

Longest Common Subsequence

- Let S and T be two strings
- If a string is both
 - a subsequence of S and
 - a subsequence of T ,it is a **common subsequence** of S and T
- In addition, if it is the longest possible one, it is a **longest common subsequence**

Longest Common Subsequence

- E.g.,

$S = \text{algorithms}$

$T = \text{logarithms}$

- Then, aim, lots, ohms, grit, are some of the common subsequences of S and T
- Longest common subsequences:
 $\text{lorithms , lgrithms}$

Longest Common Subsequence

- Let $S = s_1s_2\dots s_m$ be a string of length m
- Let $T = t_1t_2\dots t_n$ be a string of length n

Can we quickly find a longest common subsequence (LCS) of S and T ?

Optimal Substructure

Let $X = x_1 x_2 \dots x_k$ be an LCS of
 $S_{1,i} = s_1 s_2 \dots s_i$ and $T_{1,j} = t_1 t_2 \dots t_j$.

Lemma:

- If $s_i = t_j$, then $x_k = s_i = t_j$, and $x_1 x_2 \dots x_{k-1}$ must be the LCS of $S_{1,i-1}$ and $T_{1,j-1}$
- If $s_i \neq t_j$, then X must either be
 - (i) an LCS of $S_{1,i}$ and $T_{1,j-1}$, or
 - (ii) an LCS of $S_{1,i-1}$ and $T_{1,j}$

Optimal Substructure

Let $\text{len}_{i,j}$ = length of the LCS of $S_{1,i}$ and $T_{1,j}$

Lemma: For any $i, j \geq 1$,

- if $s_i = t_j$, $\text{len}_{i,j} = \text{len}_{i-1,j-1} + 1$
- if $s_i \neq t_j$, $\text{len}_{i,j} = \max \{ \text{len}_{i,j-1}, \text{len}_{i-1,j} \}$

Length of LCS

Define a function $\text{Compute_L}(i,j)$ as follows:

$\text{Compute_L}(i, j)$ /* Finding $\text{len}_{i,j}$ */

1. if ($i == 0$ or $j == 0$) return 0; /* base case */
2. if ($s_i == t_j$)
 return $\text{Compute_L}(i-1, j-1) + 1$;
3. else
 return max { $\text{Compute_L}(i-1, j)$, $\text{Compute_L}(i, j-1)$ }.

$\text{Compute_L}(m, n)$ runs in $O(2^{m+n})$ time

Overlapping Subproblems

To speed up, we can see that :

To $\text{Compute_L}(i,j)$ and $\text{Compute_L}(i-1,j+1)$,
has a **common** subproblem:

$\text{Compute_L}(i-1,j)$

In fact, in our recursive algorithm, there are
many redundant computations !

Question: Can we avoid it ?

Bottom-Up Approach

- Let us create a 2D table L to store all $\text{len}_{i,j}$ values once they are computed

BottomUp_L() /* Finding min #operations */

- For all i and j , set $L[i,0] = L[0,j] = 0$;
- for ($i = 1,2,\dots, m$)

 Compute $L[i,j]$ for all j ;

 // Based on $L[i-1,j-1]$, $L[i-1,j]$, $L[i,j-1]$

- return $L[m,n]$;

Running Time = $\Theta(mn)$

Remarks

- Again, a slight change in the algorithm allows us to obtain a particular LCS
- Also, we can make minor changes to the recursive algorithm and obtain a memoized version (whose running time is $O(mn)$)

Example Run: After Step 1

		D	O	R	M	I	T	O	R	Y
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D	0									
I	0									
R	0									
T	0									
Y	0									
R	0									
O	0									
O	0									
M	0									

Example Run: After Step 2, $i = 1$

		D	O	R	M	I	T	O	R	Y
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
I	0									
R	0									
T	0									
Y	0									
R	0									
O	0									
O	0									
M	0									

Example Run: After Step 2, i = 2

		D	O	R	M	I	T	O	R	Y
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
I	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2
R	0									
T	0									
Y	0									
R	0									
O	0									
O	0									
M	0									

Example Run: After Step 2, i = 3

		D	O	R	M	I	T	O	R	Y
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
I	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2
R	0	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	3	3
T	0									
Y	0									
R	0									
O	0									
O	0									
M	0									

Example Run: After Step 2, i = 4

		D	O	R	M	I	T	O	R	Y
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
I	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2
R	0	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	3	3
T	0	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	3
Y	0									
R	0									
O	0									
O	0									
M	0									

Example Run: After Step 2

		D	O	R	M	I	T	O	R	Y
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
I	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2
R	0	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	3	3
T	0	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	3
Y	0	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	4
R	0	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	4	4
O	0	1	2	2	2	2	3	4	4	4
O	0	1	2	2	2	2	3	4	4	4
M	0	1	2	2	3	3	3	4	4	4

Extra information to obtain an LCS

		D	O	R	M	I	T	O	R	Y
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D	0	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖
I	0									
R	0									
T	0									
Y	0									
R	0									
O	0									
O	0									
M	0									

Extra Info: After Step 2, i = 2

		D	O	R	M	I	T	O	R	Y
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D	0	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖
I	0	1↑	1↑	1↑	1↑	2↖	2↖	2↖	2↖	2↖
R	0									
T	0									
Y	0									
R	0									
O	0									
O	0									
M	0									

Extra Info: After Step 2, i = 3

		D	O	R	M	I	T	O	R	Y
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D	0	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖
I	0	1↑	1↑	1↑	1↑	2↖	2↖	2↖	2↖	2↖
R	0	1↑	1↑	2↖	2↖	2↑	2↑	2↑	3↖	3↖
T	0									
Y	0									
R	0									
O	0									
O	0									
M	0									

Extra Info: After Step 2

		D	O	R	M	I	T	O	R	Y
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D	0	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖
I	0	1↑	1↑	1↑	1↑	2↖	2↖	2↖	2↖	2↖
R	0	1↑	1↑	2↖	2↖	2↑	2↑	2↑	3↖	3↖
T	0	1↑	1↑	2↑	2↑	2↑	3↖	3↖	3↖	3↖
Y	0	1↑	1↑	2↑	2↑	2↑	3↑	3↖	3↖	4↖
R	0	1↑	1↑	2↑	2↑	2↑	3↑	3↑	4↖	4↑
O	0	1↑	2↖	2↑	2↑	2↑	3↑	4↖	4↑	4↑
O	0	1↑	2↖	2↑	2↑	2↑	3↑	4↑	4↑	4↖
M	0	1↑	2↑	2↑	3↖	3↖	3↖	4↑	4↑	4↑

LCS obtained by tracing from L[m,n]

		D	O	R	M	I	T	O	R	Y
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D	0	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖	1↖
I	0	1↑	1↑	1↑	1↑	2↖	2↖	2↖	2↖	2↖
R	0	1↑	1↑	2↖	2↖	2↑	2↑	2↑	3↖	3↖
T	0	1↑	1↑	2↑	2↑	2↑	3↖	3↖	3↖	3↖
Y	0	1↑	1↑	2↑	2↑	2↑	3↑	3↖	3↖	4↖
R	0	1↑	1↑	2↑	2↑	2↑	3↑	3↑	4↖	4↑
O	0	1↑	2↖	2↑	2↑	2↑	3↑	4↖	4↑	4↑
O	0	1↑	2↖	2↑	2↑	2↑	3↑	4↑	4↑	4↖
M	0	1↑	2↑	2↑	3↖	3↖	3↖	4↑	4↑	4↑