

CS4311 DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF ALGORITHMS

Homework 1 (Solution Sketch)

1. (a) We shall find the position using the binary search strategy. Let B denote the array after John's modification, and let $x = B[1]$ denote the value of its first element. Thus for any entry $B[j]$, we have $B[j] < x$ if and only if this entry belongs to A_{left} .
Our target is to find which entry of B corresponds to the beginning of A_{left} . We shall first compare the middle element $B[m]$ of B with x . In case $B[m]$ is smaller than x , we can deduce that the target entry is in the subarray $B[1..m]$ so that we recursively search for such element in $B[1..m]$. Otherwise, we can deduce that the target entry is in the subarray $B[m+1..n]$ and we recursively search for such element in $B[m+1..n]$. After each step, the problem size (number of entries to be searched) is reduced by half, so that the search will stop after $O(\log n)$ steps. Thus, the running time is $O(\log n)$.
(b) The correctness of this algorithm follows from the following statement (why?), which can be shown easily by induction (how?): After each step, the subarray to be searched must contain the target entry.
2. (a) By examining the code, we see that the value of `count` is equal to either 0 or 1. More precisely, it is 0 if the number of factors of n is an even number, and 1 otherwise. By our high school mathematics, n has an even number of factors if and only if n is not a perfect square. (The reason is: For each factor x smaller than \sqrt{n} , there is a distinct factor, n/x , larger than \sqrt{n} . Thus, the number of factors smaller than \sqrt{n} is exactly equal to the number of factors larger than \sqrt{n} . This implies that the number of factors is an even number, unless \sqrt{n} happens to be an integer; in such case, $n = (\sqrt{n})^2$ is a perfect square.)
Thus, to compute `count` is equivalent to checking whether n is a perfect square or not. (If so, we return 1. Otherwise, we return 0.) To solve the latter problem, our method is to find the largest integer $j \in [1, n]$ such that $j^2 \leq n$ by the binary search strategy. Then if $j^2 = n$, n must be a perfect square; else, we must have $j^2 < n < (j+1)^2$ so that n is not a perfect square.
We start with the middle element m , $m = \lceil n/2 \rceil$, and check if $m^2 < n$. If so, we can deduce that $j < m$ so that we recursively search $[1, m-1]$. Otherwise, we can deduce that $j \geq m$ so that we recursively search $[m, n]$.
After each step, the problem size (number of entries to be searched) is reduced by half, so that the search will stop after $O(\log n)$ steps. Thus, the running time is $O(\log n)$.
(b) The correctness of this algorithm follows from the following statement (why?), which can be shown easily by induction (how?): After each step, the subarray to be searched must contain the target j .
3. (a) The correctness of this algorithm follows from the following statement (why?), which can be shown easily by induction (how?): After the k th phase, the k largest elements are in the correct positions.
(b) Each swap can remove at most 1 inverted pair. Since the final output (sorted sequence) does not contain any inverted pairs, we must have: # of swaps \geq # of inverted pairs. After a swap, an inverted pair formed by the swapping entries disappear; moreover, after a swap, no new inverted pair can be created. Thus each swap must correspond to an *original* inverted pair, so that we must have: # of swaps \leq # of inverted pairs. In summary, # of swaps = # of inverted pairs.

- (c) The number of inverted pairs can be counted by a modified version of merge sort. Consider dividing the an array B into the left half B_{left} and the right half B_{right} . We say an inverted pair is *crossing* if one element is from B_{left} and the other is from B_{right} . We have two key observations.

Observation 1: The number of crossing inverted pairs remains the same even if B_{left} and B_{right} both are sorted (why?).

Observation 2: If B_{left} and B_{right} are sorted, counting the crossing inverted pairs can be done at the same time when we merge B_{left} and B_{right} . This can be done in linear time (how?).

Based on these observations, we shall design a function, called “sort-and-count” for any array B , which sorts B and count the inverted pairs in B as follows:

- i. recursively sort-and-count B_{left} ;
- ii. recursively sort-and-count B_{right} ;
- iii. merge B_{left} and B_{right} and count the crossing inverted pairs;
- iv. return the sum of the inverted pairs counted by (i), (ii), and (iii).

We can show by induction on i that the above algorithm correctly sorts any array and counts its inverted pairs, where i is the length of the array.

Let $T(n)$ denote the running time of the above algorithm. Thus, we have $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + \Theta(n)$, and hence $T(n) = \Theta(n \log n)$ by Master Theorem.