CS4311 Design and Analysis of Algorithms

Introduction to External Memory Algorithms

1

#### About this tutorial

- Introduce External Memory (EM) Model
- How do we perform sorting?

Our slides are based on the slides by G. Brodal and R. Fagerberg See their web page for more info: http://www.daimi.au.dk/~gerth/emF03

## Dealing with Massive Data

- In some applications, we need to handle a lot of data
  - so much that our RAM is not large enough to handle
- Ex 1: Sorting most recent 8G Google search requests







## Dealing with Massive Data

- Since RAM is not large enough, we need the hard-disk to help the computation
- Hard-disk is useful:
  - 1. can store input data (obvious)
  - 2. can store intermediate result
- However, there are new concern, because accessing data in the hard-disk is much slower than accessing data in RAM

#### EM Model [Aggarwal-Vitter, 88]

- Computer is divided into three parts:
  CPU, RAM, Hard-disk
- CPU can work with data in RAM directly
  - But not directly with data in hard-disk
- RAM can read data from hard-disk, or write data to hard-disk, using the I/O (input/output) operations

#### EM Model [Aggarwal-Vitter, 88]

- Size of RAM = M items
- Hard-disk is divided in contiguous pages
  - Size of a disk page = B items
- In one I/O operation, we can
  - read or write one page
- Complexity of an algorithm = number of I/Os used
  - → That means, CPU processing is free !

### Test Our Understanding

- Suppose we have a set of N numbers, stored contiguously in the hard-disk
- How many I/Os to find max of the set?
  Ans. O(N/B) I/Os
- Is this optimal?

Ans. Yes. We must read all #s to find max, which needs at least N/B I/Os

- We shall use the idea of Merge Sort to sort N numbers in the external memory
- Recall: We can perform Merge Sort in a bottom-up manner:



Round 1: Sort every 2 numbers



Round 2: Sort every 4 numbers by merging pairs of 2 numbers



Round k: Sort every 2<sup>k</sup> numbers by merging pairs of 2<sup>k-1</sup> numbers

- Now, let us see if we can use Merge Sort directly to sort things in external memory
- Suppose we have two sorted lists of #s, which are placed in p pages and q pages:



- How can we merge them ?
- Method:
  - Load 1<sup>st</sup> page from each list
    - → Must contain B smallest numbers



- Method (cont):
  - CPU sorts the numbers in RAM
  - RAM outputs B smallest #s in a pages



• Next, we should read another page for merging... But which one ?

#### Lemma :

Suppose largest # in RAM is from List 1. Then, all the next B smallest numbers are not contained in the next page of List 1.

Based on the above lemma, we know which page should be read next ...

 $\rightarrow$  we can repeatedly sort the remaining  $\rightarrow$  In total O(p+q) T/Oc

Thus, using Merge Sort,

- Each round takes O(N/B) I/Os
- there are O(log N) rounds
- $\rightarrow$  Total I/O = O((N/B) log N)

Question: Can we improve it? Recall: Our RAM can hold M pages ...

At Round 1, instead of sorting 2 numbers, let us sort M numbers together ! (How ??)

- Then, Round 1 still takes O(N/B) I/Os, but we begin with N/M sorted lists
  - → Only needs log (N/M) more rounds
- → Total I/O = O( (N/B) log (N/M))

Question: Can we further improve it?

- In current Merge Sort, we are merging two lists at a time...
- What if we merge more lists at a time?
- Precisely, suppose we have k sorted lists, where List i occupies p<sub>i</sub> pages
- How can we merge them?

- Method :
  - Load 1<sup>st</sup> page from each list

→ Must contain B smallest numbers



- Method (cont):
  - Next, outputs B smallest #s in a pages



• Now, do we read a page? Or output more?

Consider the following minor change :

- Suppose we maintain an extra page, called output buffer, in RAM
- We try to fill the output buffer with the correct smallest elements, and once the buffer is full, we output it
- When we fill the output buffer, as soon as some list L has run out of #s in RAM, we read the next page from L

#### Lemma:

- When the output buffer is full, it always contains the next smallest B #s
- Apart from the #s in output buffer, each list has at most B #s in RAM

- The previous lemma implies that we can repeatedly read pages from the k lists, fill the output buffer, and get a sorted list eventually
  - → If List i contains  $p_i$  pages, Total I/O =  $O(p_1 + p_2 + ... + p_k)$
- Also, it implies that RAM has at most k+1 pages at any time  $\Rightarrow M \ge (k+1)B$  is enough

- So, we can perform sorting with k-way merging as follows:
  - 1. Create N/M sorted lists of length M
  - At round j = 1, 2, ...
    Merge k sorted list of length k<sup>j-1</sup> M, forming a sorted list of length k<sup>j</sup> M
- $\rightarrow$  # rounds = log<sub>k</sub> (N/M)
- → Total I/O = O( (N/B) log<sub>k</sub> (N/M) )

- The larger the k, the smaller the term:  $O((N/B) \log_k (N/M))$
- Since the only restriction on k is that:  $M \geq (k+1)B$
- Thus, we can sort the N numbers in :  $O((N/B) \log_{(M/B-1)}(N/M))$  I/Os

• Usually,  $M \gg B$ , so that

 $\log (M/B - 1) = \Theta (\log (M/B))$ 

Then, sorting I/O becomes:

 $O((N/B) \log_{M/B} (N/M)) I/Os$ =  $O((N/B) \log_{M/B} (N/B)) I/Os$ 

→ Better than 2-way Merge Sort: O((N/B) log(N/M))

• In fact, we can show that if we can only use comparison to deduce the relative order between the input numbers,

then, sorting in external memory requires

 $\Omega((N/B) \log_{M/B}(N/B))$  I/Os

in the worst case

→ (M/B)-way Merge Sort is optimal !!