

# CS4311

## Design and Analysis of Algorithms

Tutorial: An Introduction to  
NP-Completeness

# About this Tutorial

- What is NP ?
  - How to check if a problem is in NP ?
- Cook-Levin Theorem
  - Showing one of the most difficult problem in NP
- Problem Reduction
  - Finding other most difficult problems

# Decision Problems

- When we receive a problem, the first thing concern is: whether the problem has a **solution** or not
- E.g., Peter gives us a map  $G = (V, E)$ , and he asks us if there is a path from  $A$  to  $B$  whose length is at most 100
- E.g., Your sister gives you a number, say **1111111111111111111** (19 one's), and asks you if this number is a prime

# Decision Problems

- The problems in the previous page is called **decision problems**, because the answer is either **YES** or **NO**
- Some decision problems can be solved efficiently, using time **polynomial** to the **size of the input**
  - We use **P** to denote the set of all these polynomial-time **solvable** problems

# Decision Problems

E.g., For Peter's problem, there is an  $O(V \log V + E)$ -time algorithm that finds the shortest path from  $A$  to  $B$ ;

→ we can first apply this algorithm and then give the correct answer

→ Peter's problem is in  $P$

- Can you think of other problems in  $P$  ?

# Decision Problems

- Another interesting classification of decision problems is to see if the problem can be **verified** in time **polynomial** to the **size of the input**
- Precisely, for such a decision problem, whenever it has an answer **YES**, we can :
  1. Ask for a short **proof**, and  
/\* short means : polynomial in size of input \*/
  2. Be able to verify the answer is **YES**

# Decision Problems

E.g., In Peter's problem, if there is a path from **A** to **B** with length  $\leq 100$ , we can :

1. Ask for the sequence of vertices (with no repetition) in any path from **A** to **B** whose length  $\leq 100$
2. Check if it is a desired path (in poly-time )

→ this problem is polynomial-time verifiable

# Polynomial-Time Verifiable

More examples:

Given a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , does the graph contain a Hamiltonian path?

Is a given integer  $x$  a composite number?

Given a set of numbers, can we divide them into two groups such that their sum are the same?



# Polynomial-Time Verifiable

- Now, imagine that we have a super-smart computer, such that for each decision problem given to it, it has the ability to guess a short **proof** (if there is one)
- With the help of this powerful computer, all polynomial-time verifiable problems can be solved in polynomial time (how ?)

# The Class NP

- Because of this, we use **NP** to denote the set of polynomial-time **verifiable** problems
  - **N** stands for non-deterministic guessing power of our computer
  - **P** stands for polynomial-time solvable

# P and NP

- We can show that a problem is in **P** implies that it is in **NP** (why?)
  - Because if a problem is in **P**, and if its answer is **YES**, then there must be an algorithm that runs in polynomial-time to conclude **YES** ...
  - Then, the execution steps of this algorithm can be used as a “short” proof

# P and NP

- On the other hand, after many people's efforts, some problems in **NP** (e.g., finding a Hamiltonian path) do not have a polynomial-time algorithm yet ...
- **Question:** Does that mean these problems are not in **P** ??
- The question whether **P = NP** is still open

Clay Mathematics Institute (CMI) offers US\$ 1 million for anyone who can answer this ...

# P and NP

- So, the current status is :
  1. If a problem is in **P**, then it is in **NP**
  2. If a problem is in **NP**, it may be in **P**
- In the early 1970s, Stephen Cook and Leonid Levin (separately) discovered that: a problem in **NP**, called **SAT**, is very mysterious ...

# Cook-Levin Theorem

- If  $SAT$  is in  $P$ , then every problems in  $NP$  are also in  $P$ 
  - I.e., if  $SAT$  is in  $P$ , then  $P = NP$   
// Can Cook or Levin claim the money from CMI yet ?
- Intuitively,  $SAT$  must be one of the most difficult problems in  $NP$ 
  - We call  $SAT$  an  $NP$ -complete problem  
(most difficult in  $NP$ )

# Satisfiable Problem

- The **SAT** problem asks:
  - Given a Boolean formula **F**, such as
$$\mathbf{F} = (x \vee y \vee \neg z) \wedge (\neg y \vee z) \wedge (\neg x)$$

is it possible to assign True/False to each variable, such that the overall value of **F** is true ?

Remark: If the answer is **YES**, **F** is a **satisfiable**, and so it is how the name **SAT** is from

# Other NP-Complete Problems

- The proofs made by Cook and Levin is a bit complicated, because intuitively they need to show that no problems in **NP** can be more difficult than **SAT**
- However, since Cook and Levin, many people show that many other problems in **NP** are shown to be **NP-complete**
  - How come many people can think of complicated proofs suddenly ??



# Problem Reduction

- How these new problems are shown to be NP-complete rely on a new technique, called **reduction** (problem transformation)
- Basic Idea:
  - Suppose we have two problems, **A** and **B**
  - We know that **A** is very difficult
  - However, we know if we can solve **B**, then we can solve **A**
  - What can we conclude ??

# Problem Reduction

- E.g.,  $A$  = Finding median,  $B$  = Sorting
- We can solve  $A$  if we know how to solve  $B$   
→ sorting is as hard as finding median
- E.g.,  $A$  = Topological Sort,  $B$  = DFS
- We can solve  $A$  if we know how to solve  $B$   
→ DFS is as hard as topological sort

# Problem Reduction

- Now, consider
    - $A$  = an NP-complete problem (e.g., SAT)
    - $B$  = another problem in NP
  - Suppose that we can show that:
    1. we can transform a problem of  $A$  into a problem of  $B$ , using polynomial time
    2. We can answer  $A$  if we can answer  $B$
- Then we can conclude  $B$  is NP-complete
- (Can you see why??)

# Example

- Let us define two problems as follows :
- The **CLIQUE** problem:  
Given a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , and an integer  $k$ , does the graph contain a complete graph with at least  $k$  vertices
- The **IND-SET** problem:  
Given a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , and an integer  $k$ , does the graph contain  $k$  vertices such that there is no edge in between them ?

# Example

- Questions:
  1. Are both problems decision problems ?
  2. Are both problems in NP ?
- In fact, CLIQUE is NP-complete
  - Can we use reduction to show that IND-SET is also NP-complete ?
- [ transform which problem to which?? ]