CS4311 Design and Analysis of Algorithms

Lecture 8: Order Statistics

1

### About this lecture

- Finding max, min in an unsorted array (upper bound and lower bound)
- Selecting the k<sup>th</sup> smallest element in an unsorted array

 $k^{th}$  smallest element =  $k^{th}$  order statistics

## Finding Maximum (Method I)

- Let S denote the input set of n items
- To find the maximum of S, we can:
   Step 1: Set max = item 1
   Step 2: for k = 2, 3, ..., n

   if (item k is larger than max)
   Update max = item k;
   Step 3: return max;

# comparisons = n - 1

## Finding Maximum (Method II)

- Define a function Find-Max as follows:
   Find-Max(R, k) /\* R is a set with k items \*/
  - 1. if  $(k \le 2)$  return maximum of R;
  - 2. Partition items of R into  $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$  pairs;
  - 3. Delete smaller item from R in each pair;
  - 4. return Find-Max(R, k  $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ );

Calling Find-Max(S,n) gives the maximum of S

## Finding Maximum (Method II)

- Let T(n) = # comparisons for Find-Max with problem size n
- So,  $T(n) = T(n \lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  for  $n \ge 3$ T(2) = 1
- Solving the recurrence (by substitution), we get T(n) = n - 1

### Lower Bound

Question: Can we find the maximum using fewer than n - 1 comparisons?

Answer: No! To ensure that an item x is not the maximum, there must be at least one comparison in which x is the smaller of the compared items

So, we need to ensure n-1 items not max

 $\rightarrow$  at least n - 1 comparisons are needed

Finding Both Max and Min Can we find both max and min guickly? Solution 1: First, find max with n - 1 comparisons Then, find min with n - 1 comparisons  $\rightarrow$  Total = 2n - 2 comparisons

#### Is there a better solution ??

Finding Both Max and Min Solution 2: (if n is even)

First, partition items into n/2 pairs;



Next, compare items within each pair;



## Finding Both Max and Min Then, max = Find-Max in larger items min = Find-Min in smaller items



Finding Both Max and Min Solution 2: (if n is odd)

We find max and min of first n - 1 items; if (last item is larger than max) Update max = last item; if (last item is smaller than min ) Update min = last item;

# comparisons = 3(n-1)/2

## Finding Both Max and Min

Conclusion:

To find both max and min: if n is odd: 3(n-1)/2 comparisons if n is even: 3n/2 - 2 comparisons

Combining: at most  $3\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  comparisons

Detter than finding max and min separately

### Lower Bound

- Textbook Ex 9.1-2 (Very challenging):
- Show that we need at least

[3n/2] - 2 comparisons

to find both max and min in worst-case

Hint: Consider how many numbers may be max or min (or both). Investigate how a comparison affects these counts

## Selection in Linear Time

 In next slides, we describe a recursive call Select(S,k)

which supports finding the  $k^{\text{th}}$  smallest element in S

Recursion is used for two purposes:
(1) selecting a good pivot (as in Quicksort)
(2) solving a smaller sub-problem

#### Select(S, k)

- /\* First, find a good pivot \*/
  1. Partition S into [|S|/5] groups, each
  group has five items (one group may
  have fewer items);
- 2. Sort each group separately;
- 3. Collect median of each group into S';
- 4. Find median m of S':

m = Select(S',  $\lceil \lceil S \rceil / 5 \rceil / 2 \rceil$ );

4. Let q = # items of S smaller than m; 5. If (k == q + 1)return m: /\* Partition with pivot \*/ 6. Else partition S into X and Y X = {items smaller than m} Y = {items larger than m} /\* Next,form a sub-problem \*/ 7. If (k < q + 1)return Select(X, k) 8. Else

return Select(Y, k-(q+1));

# Selection in Linear Time

Questions:

 Why is the previous algorithm correct? (Prove by Induction)

2. What is its running time?

# Running Time

- In our selection algorithm, we chose m, which is the median of medians, to be a pivot and partition S into two sets X and Y
- In fact, if we choose any other item as the pivot, the algorithm is still correct
- Why don't we just pick an arbitrary pivot so that we can save some time ??

# Running Time

- A closer look reviews that the worst-case running time depends on |X| and |Y|
- Precisely, if T(|S|) denote the worst-case running time of the algorithm on S, then
  - $T(|S|) = T([|S|/5]) + \Theta(|S|) + \max \{T(|X|), T(|Y|)\}$

# Running Time

 Later, we show that if we choose m, the "median of medians", as the pivot,

both |X| and |Y| will be at most 3|5|/4

· Consequently,

 $T(n) = T([n/5]) + \Theta(n) + T(3n/4)$ 

 $\rightarrow$  T(n) =  $\Theta(n)$  (obtained by substitution)

 Let's begin with [n/5] sorted groups, each has 5 items (one group may have fewer)



• Then, we obtain the median of medians, m





The number of items with value at most m is at least



 $\rightarrow$  number of items: at least 3n/10 - 5

Median of Medians Previous page implies that at most 7n/10 + 5 items are greater than m  $\rightarrow$  For large enough n (say, n  $\geq$  100) 7n/10 + 5 < 3n/4 $\rightarrow$  |Y| is at most 3n/4 for large enough n

Similarly, we can show that at most
7n/10 + 5 items are smaller than m
→ |X| is at most 3n/4 for large enough n

Conclusion:

The "median of medians" helps us control the worst-case size of the sub-problem
→ without it, the algorithm runs in Θ(n<sup>2</sup>) time in the worst-case