

# CS4311

## Design and Analysis of Algorithms

Lecture 2: Growth of Function

# About this lecture

- Introduce Asymptotic Notation
  - $\Theta()$ ,  $O()$ ,  $\Omega()$ ,  $o()$ ,  $\omega()$

# Dominating Term

Recall that for input size  $n$ ,

- Insertion Sort 's running time is:

$$An^2 + Bn + C, \quad (A,B,C \text{ are constants})$$

- Merge Sort 's running time is:

$$Dn \log n + En + F, \quad (D,E,F \text{ are constants})$$

- To compare their running times for large  $n$ , we can in fact just focus on the **dominating term** (the term that grows fastest when  $n$  increases)
  - That is,  $An^2$  vs  $Dn \log n$

# Dominating Term

- If we look more closely, the **leading constants** in the dominating term does not affect much in this comparison
  - That is, we may as well compare  $n^2$  vs  $n \log n$  (instead of  $An^2$  vs  $Dn \log n$  )
- As a result, we conclude that Merge Sort is better than Insertion Sort when  $n$  is sufficiently large

# Asymptotic Efficiency

- In the previous comparison, we are studying the **asymptotic** efficiency of the two sorting algorithms
  - That is, what happens if the input size can increase without bound?
- If algorithm P is **asymptotically** faster than algorithm Q, P is often a better choice
- To aid (and simplify) our study in the asymptotic efficiency, we now introduce some useful **asymptotic notation**

# Big-O notation

Definition: Given a function  $g(n)$ , we denote  $O(g(n))$  to be the set of functions

$\{ f(n) \mid \text{there exists positive constants } c \text{ and } n_0 \text{ such that}$

$$0 \leq f(n) \leq c g(n)$$

$\text{for all } n \geq n_0 \}$

Meaning: Those functions which can be **upper bounded** by a constant times of  $g(n)$  for large  $n$

# Big-O notation (example)

- $4n \in O(5n)$  [ proof:  $c = 1, n \geq 1$  ]
- $4n \in O(n)$  [ proof:  $c = 4, n \geq 1$  ]
- $4n + 3 \in O(n)$  [ proof:  $c = 5, n \geq 3$  ]
- $n \in O(0.001n^2)$  [ proof:  $c = 1, n \geq 100$  ]
- $\log_e n \in O(\log n)$  [ proof:  $c = 1, n \geq 1$  ]
- $\log n \in O(\log_e n)$  [ proof:  $c = \log e, n \geq 1$  ]

**Remark:** Usually, we will slightly abuse the notation, and write  $f(n) = O(g(n))$  to mean  $f(n) \in O(g(n))$

# Big-Omega notation

Definition: Given a function  $g(n)$ , we denote  $\Omega(g(n))$  to be the set of functions

$\{ f(n) \mid \text{there exists positive constants } c \text{ and } n_0 \text{ such that}$

$$0 \leq c g(n) \leq f(n)$$

$\text{for all } n \geq n_0 \}$

Meaning: Those functions which can be lower bounded by a constant times of  $g(n)$  for large  $n$



# Big-O and Big-Omega

- Similar to Big-O, we will slightly abuse the notation, and write  $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$  to mean  $f(n) \in \Omega(g(n))$

Relationship between Big-O and Big- $\Omega$  :

$$f(n) = \Omega(g(n)) \Leftrightarrow g(n) = O(f(n))$$

## Big- $\Omega$ notation (example)

- $5n = \Omega(4n)$  [ proof:  $c = 1, n \geq 1$  ]
- $n = \Omega(4n)$  [ proof:  $c = 1/4, n \geq 1$  ]
- $4n + 3 = \Omega(n)$  [ proof:  $c = 1, n \geq 1$  ]
- $0.001n^2 = \Omega(n)$  [ proof:  $c = 1, n \geq 100$  ]
- $\log_e n = \Omega(\log n)$  [ proof:  $c = 1/\log e, n \geq 1$  ]
- $\log n = \Omega(\log_e n)$  [ proof:  $c = 1, n \geq 1$  ]

## $\Theta$ notation (Big-O $\cap$ Big- $\Omega$ )

Definition: Given a function  $g(n)$ , we denote  $\Theta(g(n))$  to be the set of functions

$\{ f(n) \mid \text{there exists positive constants } c_1, c_2, \text{ and } n_0 \text{ such that}$

$$0 \leq c_1 g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2 g(n)$$

for all  $n \geq n_0 \}$

Meaning: Those functions which can be both upper bounded and lower bounded by of  $g(n)$  for large  $n$

# Big-O, Big-Ω, and Θ

- Similarly, we write  $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$  to mean  $f(n) \in \Theta(g(n))$

Relationship between Big-O, Big-Ω, and Θ:

$$f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$$



$$f(n) = \Omega(g(n)) \text{ and } f(n) = O(g(n))$$

## $\Theta$ notation (example)

- $4n = \Theta(n)$  [  $c_1 = 1, c_2 = 4, n \geq 1$  ]
- $4n + 3 = \Theta(n)$  [  $c_1 = 1, c_2 = 5, n \geq 3$  ]
- $\log_e n = \Theta(\log n)$  [  $c_1 = 1/\log e, c_2 = 1, n \geq 1$  ]
- Running Time of Insertion Sort =  $\Theta(n^2)$ 
  - If not specified, running time refers to the **worst-case** running time
- Running Time of Merge Sort =  $\Theta(n \log n)$

# Little-o notation

Definition: Given a function  $g(n)$ , we denote  $o(g(n))$  to be the set of functions

$\{ f(n) \mid$  for any positive  $c$ , there exists positive constant  $n_0$  such that

$$0 \leq f(n) < c g(n)$$

for all  $n \geq n_0$  }

Note the similarities and differences with the Big-O definition

# Little-o (equivalent definition)

Definition: Given a function  $g(n)$ ,  $o(g(n))$  is the set of functions

$$\{ f(n) \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(n)/g(n)) = 0 \}$$

Examples:

- $4n = o(n^2)$
- $n \log n = o(n^{1.0000001})$
- $n \log n = o(n \log^2 n)$

# Little-omega notation

Definition: Given a function  $g(n)$ , we denote  $\omega(g(n))$  to be the set of functions

$\{ f(n) \mid$  for any positive  $c$ , there exists positive constant  $n_0$  such that

$$0 \leq c g(n) < f(n)$$

for all  $n \geq n_0$  }

Note the similarities and differences with the Big-Omega definition



# Little-omega (equivalent definition)

Definition: Given a function  $g(n)$ ,  $\omega(g(n))$  is the set of functions

$$\{ f(n) \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (g(n)/f(n)) = 0 \}$$

Relationship between Little-o and Little- $\omega$  :

$$f(n) = \omega(g(n)) \Leftrightarrow g(n) = o(f(n))$$

To remember the notation:

- $O$  is like  $\leq$  :  $f(n) = O(g(n))$  means  $f(n) \leq cg(n)$ 
  - And it is **possible** to have  $g(n) = O(f(n))$
- $o$  is like  $<$  :  $f(n) = o(g(n))$  means  $f(n) < cg(n)$ 
  - And it is **not possible** to have  $g(n) = o(f(n))$

Similarly,

- $\Omega$  is like  $\geq$  :  $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$  means  $f(n) \geq cg(n)$
- $\omega$  is like  $>$  :  $f(n) = \omega(g(n))$  means  $f(n) > cg(n)$

Finally,

- $\Theta$  is like  $=$  :  $f(n) = \Theta(g(n)) \Leftrightarrow g(n) = \Theta(f(n))$

**Note:** Not any two functions can be compared asymptotically

# What's wrong with it?

Your friend, after this lecture, has tried to prove  $1+2+\dots+n = O(n)$

- His proof is by induction:
- First,  $1 = O(n)$
- Assume  $1+2+\dots+k = O(n)$
- Then,  $1+2+\dots+k+(k+1) = O(n) + (k+1)$   
 $= O(n) + O(n) = O(2n) = O(n)$

So,  $1+2+\dots+n = + O(n)$  [where is the bug??]