

CS5321 Numerical Optimization Homework 5

Due May 9

1. (30%) Use the optimality conditions of constrained optimization problems to verify the following properties.

- (a) The optimal solution of the total least square problem is $A^T A \vec{x} = \lambda \vec{x}$ for some λ .

The total least square problem is

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\vec{x}} \quad & \vec{x}^T A^T A \vec{x} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \vec{x}^T \vec{x} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

The Lagrangian function is

$$\mathcal{L} = \vec{x}^T A^T A \vec{x} - \lambda(\vec{x}^T \vec{x} - 1).$$

The KKT condition shows

$$\nabla_x \mathcal{L} = 2A^T A \vec{x} - 2\lambda \vec{x} = 0$$

- (b) For the trust region method, the optimal solution \vec{p}^* of the local model

$$\min_{\vec{p} \in \mathbb{R}^n} m(\vec{p}) = \vec{g}^T \vec{p} + \frac{1}{2} \vec{p}^T A \vec{p} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \vec{p}^T \vec{p} \leq \Delta^2,$$

satisfies

$$(A + \lambda I) \vec{p}^* = -\vec{g}, \quad \lambda(\Delta - \|\vec{p}^*\|) = 0, \quad \text{and } (A + \lambda I) \text{ is positive semi-definite.}$$

The Lagrangian function for the trust region method is

$$\mathcal{L} = \vec{g}^T \vec{p} + \frac{1}{2} \vec{p}^T A \vec{p} - \mu(\Delta^2 - \vec{p}^T \vec{p}).$$

The KKT condition shows

$$\nabla_x \mathcal{L} = \vec{g} + A \vec{p} + 2\mu \vec{p} = 0.$$

Let $\lambda = 2\mu$.

$$(A + \lambda I) \vec{p}^* = -\vec{g}.$$

The complementarity condition shows

$$\lambda(\Delta^2 - \|\bar{p}^*\|^2) = 0.$$

Either $\lambda = 0$ or $\Delta^2 = \|\bar{p}^*\|^2$, one can show that $\lambda(\Delta - \|\bar{p}^*\|) = 0$.

If $\lambda = 0$, the constrain is inactive, $\|\bar{p}^*\| < \Delta$. It is an unconstrained optimization problem. So by the second order condition of an unconstrained optimization problem, $B + \lambda I = B$ is positive semi-definite.

If $\lambda > 0$, by the complementarity condition, $\|\bar{p}^*\| = \Delta$. Thus, we only need to consider the position \bar{p} such that $\|\bar{p}\| = \Delta$ (critical cone).

Since \bar{p}^* is the minimizer, $m(\bar{p}^*) \leq m(\bar{p})$, which implies

$$\bar{g}^T \bar{p}^* + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{p}^*)^T A \bar{p}^* \leq \bar{g}^T \bar{p} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{p}^T A \bar{p} \quad (1)$$

We use the first condition: $\bar{g} = -(A + \lambda I)\bar{p}^*$ to obtain $\bar{g}^T \bar{p}^* = -(\bar{p}^*)^T (A + \lambda I)\bar{p}^*$ and $\bar{g}^T \bar{p} = -\bar{p}^T (A + \lambda I)\bar{p}^*$. Substituting them to (1), one has

$$-(\bar{p}^*)^T (A + \lambda I)\bar{p}^* + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{p}^*)^T A \bar{p}^* \leq -\bar{p}^T (A + \lambda I)\bar{p}^* + \frac{1}{2}\bar{p}^T A \bar{p}$$

Since $\|\bar{p}^*\|^2 = \|\bar{p}\|^2 = \Delta^2$, we add $\frac{1}{2}\lambda\Delta^2$ to both sides, and get

$$-(\bar{p}^*)^T (A + \lambda I)\bar{p}^* + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{p}^*)^T (A + \lambda I)\bar{p}^* \leq -\bar{p}^T (A + \lambda I)\bar{p}^* + \frac{1}{2}\bar{p}^T (A + \lambda I)\bar{p}$$

which is equivalent to

$$0 \leq (\bar{p}^* - \bar{p})^T (A + \lambda I)(\bar{p}^* - \bar{p}).$$

Since the only constraint of \bar{p} is $\|\bar{p}\| = \Delta$, $(\bar{p}^* - \bar{p})$ can be any vector. Thus, $(A + \lambda I)$ is positive semi-definite.

2. (30%) For a quadratic programming,

$$\min_{\vec{x}} g(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\vec{x}^T G \vec{x} + \vec{x}^T \vec{c}$$

$$\text{s.t. } A\vec{x} = \vec{b},$$

Prove that if A has full row-rank and the reduced Hessian $Z^T G Z$ is positive definite, where $\text{span}\{Z\}$ is the null space of $\text{span}\{A^T\}$, then the KKT matrix

$K = \begin{bmatrix} G & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is nonsingular. (Hint: Prove that every vector $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{w} \\ \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}$ making $\begin{bmatrix} G & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{w} \\ \vec{v} \end{bmatrix} = 0$ is a zero vector. Using the property that $\vec{w}^T G \vec{w} > 0$.)

Suppose $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{w} \\ \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}$ making $\begin{bmatrix} G & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{w} \\ \vec{v} \end{bmatrix} = 0$. which means

$$G\vec{w} + A^T\vec{v} = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$A\vec{w} = 0. \quad (3)$$

Pre-multiply \vec{w}^T to (2), one has $\vec{w}^T G\vec{w} + \vec{w}^T A^T\vec{v} = \vec{w}^T G\vec{w} + 0^T\vec{v} = \vec{w}^T G\vec{w} = 0$. Since $A\vec{w} = 0$, \vec{w} is in A 's null space. $\vec{w} = Z\vec{u}$.

$$\vec{w}^T G\vec{w} = \vec{u}^T Z^T G Z \vec{u} = 0$$

and $Z^T G Z$ is positive definite implies $\vec{u} = 0$, so is \vec{w} .

Since $\vec{w} = 0$, from (2), $A^T\vec{v} = 0$. But A is of full row rank. Therefore, \vec{v} must be a zero vector.

Thus, matrix K is nonsingular.

3. (40%) Consider the quadratic programming problem with bounded constraints

$$\min_{x_1, x_2, x_3} (x_1 - 4)^2 + (x_2 - 3)^2 + (x_3 - 2)^2$$

$$\text{s.t. } 0 \leq x_1, x_2, x_3 \leq 2$$

Use gradient projection method to find its optimal solution with $\vec{x}_0 = 0$. Write down the trace, like

$$\vec{x}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \vec{x}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3/2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \vec{x}_2 = \dots$$