## CS5321 Numerical Optimization Homework 4

## Due April 26

- 1. (30%) The conjugate gradient method for solving Ax = b is given in Figure 1, where  $z_k$  is the approximate solution. In class, we only showed that  $\alpha_k = (\vec{p}_k^T \vec{r}_k)/(\vec{p}_k^T A \vec{p}_k)$  and  $\beta_k = -(\vec{p}_k^T A \vec{r}_{k+1})/(\vec{p}_k^T A \vec{p}_k)$ . Prove that the above formulas of  $\alpha_k$  and  $\beta_k$  are equivalent to the ones in step (3) and step (6). Your may need the relations in step (4) and step (5), and the following facts.
  - (a)  $\vec{r}_i$  and  $\vec{r}_j$  are orthogonal to each other. (If  $i \neq j$ ,  $\vec{r}_i^T \vec{r}_j = 0$ .)
  - (b)  $\vec{p_i}$  and  $\vec{p_j}$  are A-conjugate to each other. (If  $i \neq j$ ,  $\vec{p_i}^T A \vec{p_j} = 0$ .)
  - (c)  $\vec{p}_k$  is a linear combination of  $\vec{r}_0, \ldots, \vec{r}_k, \ \vec{p}_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i \vec{r}_i$ . (which can be shown from step (7) by induction.)
    - (1) Given  $\vec{z}_0$ . Let  $\vec{p}_0 = \vec{b} A\vec{z}_0$ , and  $\vec{r}_0 = \vec{p}_0$ .
    - (2) For  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  until  $\|\vec{r}_k\| \le \epsilon$
    - (3)  $\alpha_k = (\vec{r}_k^T \vec{r}_k) / (\vec{p}_k^T A \vec{p}_k)$
    - $(4) \vec{z}_{k+1} = \vec{z}_k + \alpha_k \vec{p}_k$
    - $\vec{r}_{k+1} = \vec{r}_k \alpha_k A \vec{p}_k$
    - (6)  $\beta_k = (\vec{r}_{k+1}^T \vec{r}_{k+1}) / (\vec{r}_k^T \vec{r}_k)$
    - (7)  $\vec{p}_{k+1} = \vec{r}_{k+1} + \beta_k \vec{p}_k$

Figure 1: The CG algorithm

First, we show that

$$\alpha_k = \frac{\vec{p}_k^T \vec{r}_k}{\vec{p}_k^T A \vec{p}_k} = \frac{\vec{r}_k^T \vec{r}_k}{\vec{p}_k^T A \vec{p}_k}.$$
 (1)

The only thing to prove is  $\vec{p}_k^T \vec{r}_k = \vec{r}_k^T \vec{r}_k$ . By using (c),

$$ec{p}_k^T ec{r}_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i ec{r}_i^T ec{r}_k.$$

With (a),  $\vec{r}_i \vec{r}_k = 0$  except i = k. Thus,  $\vec{p}_k^T \vec{r}_k = \vec{r}_k^T \vec{r}_k$ .

Second, we prove  $\beta_k = -\frac{\vec{p}_k^T A \vec{r}_{k+1}}{\vec{p}_k^T A \vec{p}_k}$ . We can use the result in the first step to simplify the proof.

$$\beta_k = -\frac{\vec{p}_k^T A \vec{r}_{k+1}}{\vec{p}_k^T A \vec{p}_k} = -\frac{\vec{r}_k^T \vec{r}_k}{\vec{p}_k^T A \vec{p}_k} \frac{\vec{p}_k^T A \vec{r}_{k+1}}{\vec{r}_k^T \vec{r}_k} = -\alpha_k \frac{\vec{p}_k^T A \vec{r}_{k+1}}{\vec{r}_k^T \vec{r}_k}.$$

Thus, we only need to show that  $-\alpha_k \vec{p}_k^T A \vec{r}_{k+1} = \vec{r}_{k+1}^T \vec{r}_{k+1}$ .

From step (5),  $\vec{r}_{k+1} = \vec{r}_k - \alpha_k A \vec{p}_k$ .

$$\vec{r}_{k+1}^T \vec{r}_{k+1} = (\vec{r}_k - \alpha_k A \vec{p}_k)^T \vec{r}_{k+1} = \vec{r}_k^T \vec{r}_{k+1} - \alpha_k \vec{p}_k^T A \vec{r}_{k+1}.$$

However, property (a) tells  $\vec{r}_k^T \vec{r}_{k+1} = 0$ .

Therefore,  $-\alpha_k \vec{p}_k^T A \vec{r}_{k+1} = \vec{r}_{k+1}^T \vec{r}_{k+1}$ .

2. (70%) Find the minimum of the Rosenbrock function

$$f(x,y) = (1-x)^2 + 100(y-x^2)^2.$$

(a) Implement the quasi-Newton method (BFGS) with line search, and test it with  $(x_0, y_0) = (-1.2, 1.0)$  and initial Hessian  $H_0 = I$ . Let  $B_k$  be the BFGS approximation to the inverse of the Hessian  $H_k$  matrix. The formula of updating  $B_k$  is

$$B_{k+1} = (I - \rho_k \vec{s}_k \vec{y}_k^T) B_k (I - \rho_k \vec{y}_k \vec{s}_k^T) + \rho_k \vec{s}_k \vec{s}_k^T,$$

where  $\vec{s}_k = x_{k+1} - x_k$ ,  $\vec{y}_k = \nabla f_{k+1} - \nabla f_k$ , and  $\rho_k = 1/\vec{y}_k^T s_k$ .

- (b) Figure 2 shows the truncated Newton's method (TN) with line search. The *inner-loop* of TN, Line (5)-(14), is just like CG. Compare Line (4)-(14) with CG in Figure 1 and point out their differences.
- (c) Implement the truncated Newton's method (TN) with line search, and test it with  $(x_0, y_0) = (-1.2, 1.0)$ .

```
(1)
           Given an initial point \vec{x}_0.
(2)
           For k = 0, 1, 2, ...
                     Compute H_k, \nabla f_k and set \epsilon_k = \min(0.5, \sqrt{\|\nabla f_k\|}) \times \|\nabla f_k\|
(3)
                     Given \vec{z_0}. Let \vec{d_0} = -\nabla f_k - A\vec{z_0} = -\nabla f_k, and \vec{r_0} = \vec{p_0}.
(4)
                    For j = 0, 1, 2, ...
(5)
                              If (\vec{d}_i^T H_k \vec{d}_i \leq 0)
(6)
                                       \vec{p}_k = \vec{z}_j; (if j = 0, \vec{p}_k = -\nabla f_k.) break;
(7)
                              \alpha_i = (\vec{r}_i^T \vec{r}_i) / (\vec{d}_i^T H_k \vec{d}_i)
(8)
                              \vec{z}_{i+1} = \vec{z}_i + \alpha_i \vec{d}_i
(9)
                              \vec{r}_{j+1} = \vec{r}_j - \alpha_k H_k \vec{d}_j
(10)
                              If (\|\vec{r}_{j+1}\| \le \epsilon_k)
(11)
                                       \vec{p}_k = \vec{z}_{i+1}; break;
(12)
                             \beta_j = (\vec{r}_{j+1}^T \vec{r}_{j+1}) / (\vec{r}_j^T \vec{r}_j)
(13)
                              \vec{d}_{i+1} = \vec{r}_{i+1} + \beta_i \vec{d}_i
(14)
                     End for
                     Use line search to find a_k and set \vec{x}_{k+1} = \vec{x}_k + a_k \vec{p}_k
(15)
           End for
```

Figure 2: The truncated Newton algorithm