

Problems of Eigenvalues/Eigenvectors

- ♣ Reveiw of Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors
- ♣ Gerschgorin's Disk Theorem
- ♣ Power and Inverse Power Methods
- ♣ Jacobi Transform for Symmetric Matrices
- ♣ Spectrum Decomposition Theorem
- ♣ Singular Value Decomposition with Applications
- ♣ QR Iterations for Computing Eigenvalues
- ♣ A Markov Process
- ♣ e^A and Differential Equations
- ♣ Other Topics with Applications

Definition and Examples

Let $A \in R^{n \times n}$. If $\exists \mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ such that $A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$, λ is called an eigenvalue of matrix A , and \mathbf{v} is called an eigenvector corresponding to (or belonging to) the eigenvalue λ . Note that \mathbf{v} is an eigenvector implies that $\alpha\mathbf{v}$ is also an eigenvector for all $\alpha \neq 0$. We define the Eigenspace(λ) as the vector space spanned by all of the eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalue λ .

Examples:

$$\begin{aligned}
 1. \quad A &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \lambda_1 = 2, \mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \lambda_2 = 1, \mathbf{u}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \\
 2. \quad A &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \lambda_1 = 2, \mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \lambda_2 = 1, \mathbf{u}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \\
 3. \quad A &= \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \lambda_1 = 4, \mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \lambda_2 = 2, \mathbf{u}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \\
 4. \quad A &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \lambda_1 = j, \mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ j \end{bmatrix}, \lambda_2 = -j, \mathbf{u}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} j \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, j = \sqrt{-1}. \\
 5. \quad B &= \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 8 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ then } \lambda_1 = 3, \mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \end{bmatrix}; \lambda_2 = -1, \mathbf{u}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \\
 6. \quad C &= \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ then } \tau_1 = 4, \mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}; \tau_2 = 2, \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$A\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x} \Rightarrow (\lambda I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow \det(\lambda I - A) = P(\lambda) = 0.$$

Gershgorin's Disk Theorem

Note that $\|\mathbf{u}_i\|_2 = 1$ and $\|\mathbf{v}_i\|_2 = 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. Denote $U = [\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2]$ and $V = [\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2]$, then

$$U^{-1}BU = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad V^{-1}CV = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that $V^t = V^{-1}$ but $U^t \neq U^{-1}$.

Let $A \in R^{n \times n}$, then $\det(\lambda I - A)$ is called the *characteristic polynomial* of matrix A .

♣ Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

A real polynomial $P(\lambda) = \lambda^n + a_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$ of degree n has n roots $\{\lambda_i\}$ such that

$$P(\lambda) = (\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda - \lambda_2) \cdots (\lambda - \lambda_n) = \lambda^n - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \right) \lambda^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \right)$$

- $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} = \text{tr}(A)$
- $\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = \det(A)$

♣ Gershgorin's Disk/Circle Theorem

Every eigenvalue of matrix $A \in R^{n \times n}$ lies in at least one of the disks

$$D_i = \{x \mid |x - a_{ii}| \leq \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

Example: $B = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in S_1 \cup S_2 \cup D_3$, where $S_1 = \{z \mid |z-3| \leq 2\}$, $S_2 =$

$\{z \mid |z-4| \leq 1\}$, $S_3 = \{z \mid |z-5| \leq 4\}$. Note that $\lambda_1 = 6.5616$, $\lambda_2 = 3.0000$, $\lambda_3 = 2.4383$.

□ A matrix is said to be *diagonally dominant* if $\sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}| < |a_{ii}|$, $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n$.

◇ A diagonally dominant matrix is invertible.

Theorem: Let $A, P \in R^{n \times n}$, with P nonsingular, then λ is an eigenvalue of A with eigenvector \mathbf{x} iff λ is an eigenvalue of $P^{-1}AP$ with eigenvector $P^{-1}\mathbf{x}$.

Theorem: Let $A \in R^{n \times n}$ and let λ be an eigenvalue of A with eigenvector \mathbf{x} . Then

- (a) $\alpha\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of matrix αA with eigenvector \mathbf{x}
- (b) $\lambda - \mu$ is an eigenvalue of matrix $A - \mu I$ with eigenvector \mathbf{x}
- (c) If A is nonsingular, then $\lambda \neq 0$ and λ^{-1} is an eigenvalue of A^{-1} with eigenvector \mathbf{x}

Definition: A matrix A is similar to B , denote by $A \sim B$, iff there exists an invertible matrix U such that $U^{-1}AU = B$. Furthermore, a matrix A is *orthogonally similar* to B , iff there exists an orthogonal matrix Q such that $Q^tAQ = B$.

Theorem: Two similar matrices have the same eigenvalues, i.e., $A \sim B \Rightarrow \lambda(A) = \lambda(B)$.

Diagonalization of Matrices

Theorem: Suppose $A \in R^{n \times n}$ has n linearly independent eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ corresponding to eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$. Let $V = [\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n]$, then $V^{-1}AV = \text{diag}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n]$.

◇ If $A \in R^{n \times n}$ has n distinct eigenvalues, then their corresponding eigenvectors are linearly independent. Thus, any matrix with distinct eigenvalues can be diagonalized.

◇ Not all matrices have distinct eigenvalues, therefore not all matrices are diagonalizable.

Nondiagonalizable Matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ -3 & 5 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Diagonalizable Matrices

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Spectrum Decomposition Theorem*

Every real symmetric matrix can be diagonalized.

Similarity transformation and triangularization

Schur's Theorem: $\forall A \in R^{n \times n}$, \exists an orthogonal matrix U such that $U^t A U = T$ is upper- Δ . The eigenvalues must be shared by the similarity matrix T and appear along its main diagonal.

Hint: By induction, suppose that the theorem has been proved for all matrices of order $n - 1$, and consider $A \in R^{n \times n}$ with $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$ and $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = 1$, then \exists a Householder matrix H_1 such that $H_1\mathbf{x} = \beta\mathbf{e}_1$, e.g., $\beta = -\|\mathbf{x}\|_2$, hence

$$H_1 A H_1^t \mathbf{e}_1 = H_1 A (H_1^{-1} \mathbf{e}_1) = H_1 A (\beta^{-1} \mathbf{x}) = H_1 \beta^{-1} A \mathbf{x} = \beta^{-1} \lambda (H_1 \mathbf{x}) = \beta^{-1} \lambda (\beta \mathbf{e}_1) = \lambda \mathbf{e}_1$$

Thus,

$$H_1 A H_1^t = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \lambda & * \\ \hline - & - \\ O & A^{(1)} \end{array} \right]$$

Spectrum Decomposition Theorem: Every real symmetric matrix can be diagonalized by an orthogonal matrix.

$$\diamond Q^t A Q = \Lambda \text{ or } A = Q \Lambda Q^t = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{q}_i^t$$

Definition: A symmetric matrix $A \in R^{n \times n}$ is nonnegative definite if $\mathbf{x}^t A \mathbf{x} \geq 0 \forall \mathbf{x} \in R^n$, $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$.

Definition: A symmetric matrix $A \in R^{n \times n}$ is positive definite if $\mathbf{x}^t A \mathbf{x} > 0 \forall \mathbf{x} \in R^n$, $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$.

Singular Value Decomposition Theorem: Each matrix $A \in R^{m \times n}$ can be decomposed as $A = U \Sigma V^t$, where both $U \in R^{m \times m}$ and $V \in R^{n \times n}$ are orthogonal. Moreover, $\Sigma \in R^{m \times n} = \text{diag}[\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k, 0, \dots, 0]$ is essentially diagonal with the singular values satisfying $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_k > 0$.

$$\diamond A = U \Sigma V^t = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_i^t$$

Example:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

A Jacobi Transform (Givens Rotation)

$$J(i, k; \theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \vdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdot & c & \cdots & s & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & \vdots & \cdot & \ddots & \cdot & \vdots & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & -s & \cdots & c & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \ddots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdot & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$J_{hh} = 1 \text{ if } h \neq i \text{ or } h \neq k, \text{ where } i < k$$

$$J_{ii} = J_{kk} = c = \cos \theta$$

$$J_{ki} = -s = -\sin \theta, \quad J_{ik} = s = \sin \theta$$

Let $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in R^n$, then $\mathbf{y} = J(i, k; \theta)\mathbf{x}$ implies that

$$y_i = cx_i + sx_k$$

$$y_k = -sx_i + cx_k$$

$$c = \frac{x_i}{\sqrt{x_i^2 + x_k^2}}, \quad s = \frac{x_k}{\sqrt{x_i^2 + x_k^2}},$$

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{5} \\ 2/\sqrt{5} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{then } J(2, 4; \theta)\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \sqrt{20} \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Jacobi Transforms (Givens Rotations)

The Jacobi method consists of a sequence of orthogonal similarity transformations such that

$$J_K^t J_{K-1}^t \cdots J_2^t J_1^t A J_1 J_2 \cdots J_{K-1} J_K = \Lambda$$

where each J_i is orthogonal, so is $Q = J_1 J_2 \cdots J_{K-1} J_K$.

Each Jacobi transform (Given rotation) is just a plane rotation designed to annihilate one of the off-diagonal matrix elements. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be symmetric, then

$B = J^t(p, q, \theta) A J(p, q, \theta)$, where

$$b_{rp} = ca_{rp} - sa_{rq} \quad \text{for } r \neq p, r \neq q$$

$$b_{rq} = sa_{rp} + ca_{rq} \quad \text{for } r \neq p, r \neq q$$

$$b_{pp} = c^2 a_{pp} + s^2 a_{qq} - 2sca_{pq}$$

$$b_{qq} = s^2 a_{pp} + c^2 a_{qq} + 2sca_{pq}$$

$$b_{pq} = (c^2 - s^2)a_{pq} + sc(a_{pp} - a_{qq})$$

To set $b_{pq} = 0$, we choose c, s such that

$$\alpha = \cot(2\theta) = \frac{c^2 - s^2}{2sc} = \frac{a_{qq} - a_{pp}}{2a_{pq}} \quad (1)$$

For computational convenience, let $t = \frac{s}{c}$, then $t^2 + 2\alpha t - 1 = 0$ whose smaller root (in absolute sense) can be computed by

$$t = \frac{\text{sgn}(\alpha)}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + 1} + |\alpha|}, \quad \text{and } c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + t^2}}, \quad s = ct, \quad \tau = \frac{s}{1 + c} \quad (2)$$

Remark

$$b_{pp} = a_{pp} - ta_{pq}$$

$$b_{qq} = a_{qq} + ta_{pq}$$

$$b_{rp} = a_{rp} - s(a_{rq} + \tau a_{rp})$$

$$b_{rq} = a_{rq} + s(a_{rp} - \tau a_{rq})$$

Algorithm of Jacobi Transforms to Diagonalize A

$$A^{(0)} \leftarrow A$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots$, until convergence

$$\text{Let } |a_{pq}^{(k)}| = \text{Max}_{i < j} \{|a_{ij}^{(k)}|\}$$

Compute

$$\alpha_k = \frac{a_{qq}^{(k)} - a_{pp}^{(k)}}{2a_{pq}^{(k)}}, \text{ solve } \cot(2\theta_k) = \alpha_k \text{ for } \theta_k.$$

$$t = \frac{\text{sgn}(\alpha)}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + 1 + |\alpha|}}$$

$$c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t^2}}, \quad , \quad s = ct$$

$$\tau = \frac{s}{1+c}$$

$$A^{(k+1)} \leftarrow J_k^t A^{(k)} J_k, \text{ where } J_k = J(p, q, \theta_k)$$

endfor

Convergence of Jacobi Algorithm to Diagonalize A

Proof:

Since $|a_{pq}^{(k)}| \geq |a_{ij}^{(k)}|$ for $i \neq j$, $p \neq q$, then

$|a_{pq}^{(k)}|^2 \geq \text{off}(A^{(k)})/2N$, where $N = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$, and

$\text{off}(A^{(k)}) = \sum_{i \neq j}^n (a_{ij}^{(k)})^2$, the sum of square off-diagonal elements of $A^{(k)}$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{off}(A^{(k+1)}) &= \text{off}(A^{(k)}) - 2(a_{pq}^{(k)})^2 + 2(a_{pq}^{(k+1)})^2 \\ &= \text{off}(A^{(k)}) - 2(a_{pq}^{(k)})^2, \text{ since } a_{pq}^{(k+1)} = 0 \\ &\leq \text{off}(A^{(k)}) \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right), \text{ since } |a_{pq}^{(k)}|^2 \geq \text{off}(A^{(k)})/2N \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\text{off}(A^{(k+1)}) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right)^{k+1} \text{off}(A^{(0)}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

Example:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad J(1, 2; \theta) = \begin{bmatrix} c & s & 0 \\ -s & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$A^{(1)} = J^t(1, 2; \theta)AJ(1, 2; \theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 4c^2 - 4cs + 3s^2 & 2c^2 + cs - 2s^2 & -s \\ 2c^2 + cs - 2s^2 & 3c^2 + 4cs + 4s^2 & c \\ -s & c & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that $\text{off}(A^{(1)}) = 2 < 10 = \text{off}(A^{(0)}) = \text{off}(A)$

Example for Convergence of Jacobi Algorithm

$$A^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0000 & 0.5000 & 0.2500 & 0.1250 \\ 0.5000 & 1.0000 & 0.5000 & 0.2500 \\ 0.2500 & 0.5000 & 1.0000 & 0.5000 \\ 0.1250 & 0.2500 & 0.5000 & 1.0000 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.5000 & 0.0000 & 0.5303 & 0.2652 \\ 0.0000 & 0.5000 & 0.1768 & 0.0884 \\ 0.5303 & 0.1768 & 1.0000 & 0.5000 \\ 0.2652 & 0.0884 & 0.5000 & 1.0000 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.8363 & 0.0947 & 0.0000 & 0.4917 \\ 0.0947 & 0.5000 & 0.1493 & 0.0884 \\ 0.0000 & 0.1493 & 0.6637 & 0.2803 \\ 0.4917 & 0.0884 & 0.2803 & 1.0000 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A^{(3)} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.0636 & 0.1230 & 0.1176 & 0.0000 \\ 0.1230 & 0.5000 & 0.1493 & 0.0405 \\ 0.1176 & 0.1493 & 0.6637 & 0.2544 \\ 0.0000 & 0.0405 & 0.2544 & 0.7727 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^{(4)} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.0636 & 0.1230 & 0.0915 & 0.0739 \\ 0.1230 & 0.5000 & 0.0906 & 0.1254 \\ 0.0915 & 0.0906 & 0.4580 & 0.0000 \\ 0.0739 & 0.1254 & 0.0000 & 0.9783 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A^{(5)} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.0636 & 0.1018 & 0.0915 & 0.1012 \\ 0.1018 & 0.4691 & 0.0880 & 0.0000 \\ 0.0915 & 0.0880 & 0.4580 & 0.0217 \\ 0.1012 & 0.0000 & 0.0217 & 1.0092 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^{(6)} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.0701 & 0.0000 & 0.0969 & 0.1010 \\ 0.0000 & 0.4627 & 0.0820 & -0.0064 \\ 0.0969 & 0.0820 & 0.4580 & 0.0217 \\ 0.1010 & -0.0064 & 0.0217 & 1.0092 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A^{(15)} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.0856 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 & 0.5394 & 0.0000 & -0.0000 \\ 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.3750 & 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 & -0.0000 & 0.0000 & 1.0000 \end{bmatrix}$$

Power of A Matrix and Its Eigenvalues

Theorem: Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ be eigenvalues of $A \in R^{n \times n}$. Then $\lambda_1^k, \lambda_2^k, \dots, \lambda_n^k$ are eigenvalues of $A^k \in R^{n \times n}$ with the same corresponding eigenvectors of A . That is,

$$A\mathbf{v}_i = \lambda_i\mathbf{v}_i \quad \rightarrow \quad A^k\mathbf{v}_i = \lambda_i^k\mathbf{v}_i \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$$

Suppose that the matrix $A \in R^{n \times n}$ has n linearly independent eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ corresponding to eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$. Then any $\mathbf{x} \in R^n$ can be written as

$$\mathbf{x} = c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_n\mathbf{v}_n$$

Then

$$A^k\mathbf{x} = \lambda_1^k c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \lambda_2^k c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + \lambda_n^k c_n\mathbf{v}_n$$

In particular, if $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_j|$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$ and $c_1 \neq 0$, then $A^k\mathbf{x}$ will tend to lie in the direction \mathbf{v}_1 when k is *large enough*.

Power Method for Computing the Largest Eigenvalues

Suppose that the matrix $A \in R^{n \times n}$ is diagonalizable and that $U^{-1}AU = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ with $U = [\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n]$ and $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \geq |\lambda_3| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n|$. Given $\mathbf{u}^{(0)} \in R^n$, then power method produces a sequence of vectors $\mathbf{u}^{(k)}$ as follows.

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\mathbf{z}^{(k)} = A\mathbf{u}^{(k-1)}$$

$$r^{(k)} = z_m^{(k)} = \|\mathbf{z}^{(k)}\|_\infty, \text{ for some } 1 \leq m \leq n.$$

$$\mathbf{u}^{(k)} = \mathbf{z}^{(k)} / r^{(k)}$$

endfor

λ_1 must be real since the complex eigenvalues must appear in a "relatively conjugate pair".

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \lambda_1 = 3 \\ \lambda_2 = 1 \end{array}, \quad \mathbf{v}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let $\mathbf{u}^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, then $\mathbf{u}^{(5)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0 \\ 0.9918 \end{bmatrix}$, and $r^{(5)} = 2.9756$.

QR Iterations for Computing Eigenvalues

```

%
% Script File: shiftQR.m
% Solving Eigenvalues by shift-QR factorization
%
Nrun=15;
fin=fopen('dataMatrix.txt');
fgetL(fin); % read off the header line
n=fscanf(fin,'%d',1);
A=fscanf(fin,'%f',[n n]);
A=A';
SaveA=A;
for k=1:Nrun,
    s=A(n,n);
    A=A-s*eye(n);
    [Q R]=qr(A);
    A=R*Q+s*eye(n);
end
eig(SaveA)
%
% dataMatrix.txt
%
Matrices for computing eigenvalues by QR factorization or shift-QR
5
1.0    0.5    0.25  0.125  0.0625
0.5    1.0    0.5    0.25   0.125
0.25   0.5    1.0    0.5    0.25
0.125  0.25   0.5    1.0    0.5
0.0625 0.125  0.25   0.5    1.0
4
          for shift-QR studies
2.9766  0.3945  0.4198  1.1159
0.3945  2.7328 -0.3097  0.1129
0.4198 -0.3097  2.5675  0.6079
1.1159  0.1129  0.6097  1.7231

```

Norms of Vectors and Matrices

Definition: A vector norm on R^n is a function

$$\tau : R^n \rightarrow R^+ = \{x \geq 0 \mid x \in R\}$$

that satisfies

$$(1) \tau(\mathbf{x}) > 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}, \quad \tau(\mathbf{0}) = 0$$

$$(2) \tau(c\mathbf{x}) = |c|\tau(\mathbf{x}) \quad \forall c \in R, \quad \mathbf{x} \in R^n$$

$$(3) \tau(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \leq \tau(\mathbf{x}) + \tau(\mathbf{y}) \quad \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in R^n$$

Hölder norm (p-norm) $\|\mathbf{x}\|_p = (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p)^{1/p}$ for $p \geq 1$.

$$(\mathbf{p}=1) \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| \quad (\text{Mahattan or City-block distance})$$

$$(\mathbf{p}=2) \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2)^{1/2} \quad (\text{Euclidean distance})$$

$$(\mathbf{p}=\infty) \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{|x_i|\} \quad (\infty\text{-norm})$$

Definition: A matrix norm on $R^{m \times n}$ is a function

$$\tau : R^{m \times n} \rightarrow R^+ = \{x \geq 0 \mid x \in R\}$$

that satisfies

- (1) $\tau(A) > 0 \quad \forall A \neq O, \tau(O) = 0$
- (2) $\tau(cA) = |c|\tau(A) \quad \forall c \in R, A \in R^{m \times n}$
- (3) $\tau(A + B) \leq \tau(A) + \tau(B) \quad \forall A, B \in R^{m \times n}$

Consistency Property: $\tau(AB) \leq \tau(A)\tau(B) \quad \forall A, B$

- (a) $\tau(A) = \max\{|a_{ij}| \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$
- (b) $\|A\|_F = \left[\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2 \right]^{1/2}$ (Fröbenius norm)

Subordinate Matrix Norm: $\|A\| = \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\| \neq 0} \{\|A\mathbf{x}\| / \|\mathbf{x}\|\}$

- (1) If $A \in R^{m \times n}$, then $\|A\|_1 = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} (\sum_{i=1}^m |a_{ij}|)$
- (2) If $A \in R^{m \times n}$, then $\|A\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} (\sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|)$
- (3) Let $A \in R^{n \times n}$ be real symmetric, then $\|A\|_2 = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\lambda_i|$, where $\lambda_i \in \lambda(A)$

Theorem: Let $\mathbf{x} \in R^n$ and let $A = (a_{ij}) \in R^{n \times n}$. Define $\|A\|_1 = \text{Sup}_{\|\mathbf{u}\|_1=1} \{\|A\mathbf{u}\|_1\}$

Proof: For $\|\mathbf{u}\|_1 = 1$,

$$\|A\|_1 = \text{Sup}\{\|A\mathbf{u}\|_1\} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} u_j \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ij}| |u_j| = \sum_{j=1}^n |u_j| \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ij}|$$

Then

$$\|A\|_1 \leq \text{Max}_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ij}| \right\} \sum_{j=1}^n |u_j| = \text{Max}_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ij}| \right\}$$

On the other hand, let $\sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ik}| = \text{Max}_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ij}| \right\}$ and choose $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{e}_k$, which completes the proof.

Theorem: Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in R^{m \times n}$, and define $\|A\|_\infty = \text{Max}_{\|\mathbf{u}\|_\infty=1} \{\|A\mathbf{u}\|_\infty\}$.

$$\text{Show that } \|A\|_\infty = \text{Max}_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}| \right\}$$

Proof: Let $\sum_{j=1}^n |a_{Kj}| = \text{Max}_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}| \right\}$, for any $\mathbf{x} \in R^n$ with $\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\mathbf{x}\|_\infty &= \text{Max}_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \right| \right\} \\ &\leq \text{Max}_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}| \cdot |x_j| \right\} \leq \text{Max}_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}| \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty \right\} \\ &\leq \text{Max}_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}| \right\} = \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{Kj}| \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if we pick up $\mathbf{y} \in R^n$ such that $y_j = \text{sign}(a_{Kj})$, $\forall 1 \leq j \leq n$, then $\|\mathbf{y}\|_\infty = 1$, and $\|A\mathbf{y}\|_\infty = \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{Kj}|$, which completes the proof.

Theorem: Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in R^{n \times n}$, and define $\|A\|_2 = \text{Max}_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2=1} \{\|A\mathbf{x}\|_2\}$. Show that

$$\|A\|_2 = \sqrt{\rho(A^t A)} = \sqrt{\text{maximum eigenvalue of } A^t A} \quad (\text{spectral radius})$$

(Proof) Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ be eigenvalues and their corresponding unit eigenvectors $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ of matrix $A^t A$, that is,

$$(A^t A)\mathbf{u}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{u}_i\|_2 = 1 \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Since $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ must be an orthonormal basis based on *spectrum decomposition*

theorem, for any $\mathbf{x} \in R^n$, we have $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathbf{u}_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_2 &= \text{Max}_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2=1} \{\|A\mathbf{x}\|_2\} \\ &= \sqrt{\text{Max}_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2=1} \{\|A\mathbf{x}\|_2^2\}} \\ &= \sqrt{\text{Max}_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2=1} \{\mathbf{x}^t A^t A \mathbf{x}\}} \\ &= \sqrt{\text{Max}_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2=1} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i c_i^2 \right|} \\ &= \sqrt{\text{Max}_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{|\lambda_j|\}} \end{aligned}$$

A Markov Process

Suppose that 10% of the people outside Taiwan move in, and 20% of the people inside Taiwan move out in each year. Let y_k and z_k be the population at the end of the k -th year, outside Taiwan and inside Taiwan, respectively. Then we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_k \\ z_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9 & 0.2 \\ 0.1 & 0.8 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_{k-1} \\ z_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = 1.0, \lambda_2 = 0.7$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_k \\ z_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9 & 0.2 \\ 0.1 & 0.8 \end{bmatrix}^k \begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ z_0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1^k & 0 \\ 0 & (0.7)^k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ z_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

□ A *Markov* matrix A is nonnegative with each column adding to 1.

(a) $\lambda_1 = 1$ is an eigenvalue with a nonnegative eigenvector \mathbf{x}_1 .

(b) The other eigenvalues satisfy $|\lambda_i| \leq 1$.

(c) If any power of A has all positive entries, and the other $|\lambda_i| < 1$. Then $A^k \mathbf{u}_0$ approaches the steady state of \mathbf{u}_∞ which is a multiple of \mathbf{x}_1 as long as the projection of \mathbf{u}_0 in \mathbf{x}_1 is not zero.

◇ Check Perron-Fröbenius theorem in Strang's book.

e^A and Differential Equations

$$\clubsuit e^A = I + \frac{A}{1!} + \frac{A^2}{2!} + \cdots + \frac{A^m}{m!} + \cdots$$

$$\clubsuit \frac{du}{dt} = -\lambda u \Rightarrow u(t) = e^{-\lambda t}u(0)$$

$$\clubsuit \frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dt} = -A\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \Rightarrow \mathbf{u}(t) = e^{-tA}\mathbf{u}(0)$$

\clubsuit $A = U\Lambda U^t$ for an orthogonal matrix U , then

$$e^A = Ue^\Lambda U^t = U \text{diag}[e^{\lambda_1}, e^{\lambda_2}, \dots, e^{\lambda_n}] U^t$$

\clubsuit Solve $x''' - 3x'' + 2x' = 0$.

Let $y = x'$, $z = y' = x''$, and let $\mathbf{u} = [x, y, z]^t$. The problem is reduced to solving

$$\mathbf{u}' = A\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}$$

Then

$$\mathbf{u}(t) = e^{tA}\mathbf{u}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{21}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 1 \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{21}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ \frac{4}{\sqrt{21}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{2t} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2.2913 & 2.2913 \\ 0 & 3.4641 & -1.7321 \\ 1 & -1.5000 & 0.5000 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}(0)$$

Problems Solved by Matlab

Let $A, B, H, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}$ be matrices and vectors defined below, and $H = I - 2\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^t$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 6 & 0 \\ -2 & 7 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 2 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

1. Let $A=LU=QR$, find $L, U; Q, R$.
2. Find determinants and inverses of matrices A, B , and H .
3. Solve $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, how to find the number of floating-point operations are required?
4. Find the ranks of matrices A, B , and H .
5. Find the characteristic polynomials of matrices A and B .
6. Find 1-norm, 2-norm, and ∞ -norm of matrices A, B , and H .
7. Find the eigenvalues/eigenvectors of matrices A and B .
8. Find matrices U and V such that $U^{-1}AU$ and $V^{-1}BV$ are diagonal matrices.
9. Find the singular values and singular vectors of matrices A and B .
10. Randomly generate a 4×4 matrix C with $0 \leq C(i, j) \leq 9$.