

Solving Linear Systems of Equations

Many practical problems could be reduced to solving a linear system of equations formulated as $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$. This chapter studies the computational issues about directly and iteratively solving $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$.

- A Linear System of Equations
- Vector and Matrix Norms
- Matrix Condition Number ($Cond(A) = \|A\| \cdot \|A^{-1}\|$)
- Direct Solution for $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$

LU-decomposition by Gaussian Elimination

Gaussian Elimination with Partial Pivoting

Cholesky Algorithm for $A = LL^t$ (A is positive definite)

- Iterative Solutions

Jacobi method

Gauss-Seidel method

Other methods

- Applications

Overdetermined, Underdetermined, Homogeneous Systems

$$\begin{array}{r}
 a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1 \\
 a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \cdots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2 \\
 \cdot \quad \quad \quad \cdot \quad \quad \quad \cdot \quad \quad \quad \cdot \quad \quad \cdot \\
 \cdot \quad \quad \quad \cdot \quad \quad \quad \cdot \quad \quad \quad \cdot \quad \quad \cdot \\
 a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{mn}x_n = b_m
 \end{array}$$

$$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

Definition: A linear system is said to be *overdetermined* if there are more equations than unknowns ($m > n$), *underdetermined* if $m < n$, *homogeneous* if $b_i = 0, \forall 1 \leq i \leq m$.

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 x + y = 1 & x + y = 3 & x + y = 2 \\
 (A) \quad x - y = 3 & (B) \quad x - y = 1 & (C) \quad 2x + 2y = 4 \\
 -x + 2y = -2 & 2x + y = 5 & -x - y = -2
 \end{array}$$

(A) has no solution, (B) has unique solution, (C) has infinitely many solutions

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 (D) \quad x + 2y + z = -1 & x + 2y + z = 5 \\
 2x + 4y + 2z = 3 & (E) \quad 2x - y + z = 3
 \end{array}$$

(D) has no solution, (E) has infinitely many solutions

Some Special Matrices

$$A = [a_{ij}] \in R^{n \times n}$$

- *Diagonal* if $a_{ij} = 0 \forall i \neq j$
- *Lower - Δ* if $a_{ij} = 0$ if $j > i$
- *Unit lower - Δ* if A is lower- Δ with $a_{ii} = 1$
- *Lower Hessenberg* if $a_{ij} = 0$ for $j > i + 1$
- *Band matrix with bandwidth $2k + 1$* if $a_{ij} = 0$ for $|i - j| > k$

- A band matrix with *bandwidth 1* is *diagonal*
- A band matrix with *bandwidth 3* is *tridiagonal*
- A band matrix with *bandwidth 5* is *pentadiagonal*
- A lower and upper Hessenberg matrix is *tridiagonal*

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 8 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 9 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 6 & 4 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 7 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 8 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 6 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 7 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

A Direct Solution of Linear Systems

A linear system

$$\begin{aligned}2x + y + z &= 5 \\4x - 6y &= -2 \\-2x + 7y + 2z &= 9\end{aligned}$$

A matrix representation

$$\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}, \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & -6 & 0 \\ -2 & 7 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ -2 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}.$$

♣ Solution using MATLAB

```
>> A = [2, 1, 2; 4, -6, 0; -2, 7, 2];  
>> b = [5, -2, 9]';  
>> x = A\b (x = [1; 1; 2])
```

Elementary Row Operations

- (1) Interchange two rows: $A_r \leftrightarrow A_s$
- (2) Multiply a row by a nonzero real number: $A_r \leftarrow \alpha A_r$
- (3) Replace a row by its sum with a multiple of another row: $A_s \leftarrow \alpha A_r + A_s$

$$E_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

♣ *Example*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & -6 & 0 \\ -2 & 7 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_1 A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -6 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \\ -2 & 7 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_2 A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & -6 & 0 \\ 4 & -14 & -4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_3 A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & -6 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let

$$L_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & -6 & 0 \\ -2 & 7 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$L_3 L_2 L_1 A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -8 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = U \quad (\text{Upper } - \Delta)$$

$$A = (L_1^{-1} L_2^{-1} L_3^{-1}) U = LU, \quad \text{where } L \text{ is unit lower } - \Delta$$

Computing An Inverse Matrix By Elementary Row Operations

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = I$$

$$E_1A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = E_1I$$

$$E_2E_1A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = E_2E_1I$$

$$E_3E_2E_1A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -2 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = E_3E_2E_1I$$

$$E_4E_3E_2E_1A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 9 & -2 & -3 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = E_4E_3E_2E_1I = A^{-1}$$

where the elementary matrices are

$$E_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

LU-Decomposition

If a matrix A can be decomposed into the product of a unit lower- Δ matrix L and an upper- Δ matrix U , then the linear system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ can be written as $LU\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$. The problem is reduced to solving two simpler triangular systems $L\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{b}$ and $U\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ by forward and back substitutions.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & -6 & 0 \\ -2 & 7 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$L_3 L_2 L_1 A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -8 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = U \Rightarrow A = L_1^{-1} L_2^{-1} L_3^{-1} U = LU$$

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & -6 & 0 \\ -2 & 7 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -8 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = LU$$

◇ If $\mathbf{b} = [5, -2, 9]^t$, then $\mathbf{y} = [5, -12, 2]^t$ and $\mathbf{x} = [1, 1, 2]^t$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 & 3 \\ -2 & 3 & -4 \\ 4 & -3 & 7 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Analysis of Gaussian Elimination

♣ Algorithm

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$

for $k = i + 1, i + 2, \dots, n$

$m_{ki} \leftarrow a_{ki}/a_{ii}$ if $a_{ii} \neq 0$

$a_{ki} \leftarrow a_{ki}$

for $j = i + 1, i + 2, \dots, n$

$a_{kj} \leftarrow a_{kj} - m_{ki} * a_{ij}$

endfor

endfor

endfor

- The Worst Computational Complexity is $O(\frac{2}{3}n^3)$

1. # of divisions are $(n - 1) + (n - 2) + \dots + 1 = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$

2. # of multiplications are $(n - 1)^2 + (n - 2)^2 + \dots + 1^2 = \frac{n(n-1)(2n-1)}{6}$

3. # of subtractions are $(n - 1)^2 + (n - 2)^2 + \dots + 1^2 = \frac{n(n-1)(2n-1)}{6}$

The Analysis of Gaussian Elimination and Back Substitution to solve $\mathbf{Ax}=\mathbf{b}$

$$\frac{2}{3}n^3 + \frac{3}{2}n^2 - \frac{7}{6}n$$

$$R_1 : a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1$$

$$R_2 : a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \cdots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2$$

$$\vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots$$

$$R_i : a_{i1}x_1 + a_{i2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{in}x_n = b_i$$

$$\vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots$$

$$R_n : a_{n1}x_1 + a_{n2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{nn}x_n = b_n$$

By Gaussian Elimination, we need $C_1 = [\sum_{k=1}^n (k+1)(k-1) + \sum_{k=1}^n k(k-1)]$ flops to reduce the above linear system of equations equivalent to the following upper triangular system.

$$R_1 : u_{11}x_1 + u_{12}x_2 + \cdots + u_{1n}x_n = c_1$$

$$\quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots$$

$$R_i : \quad \quad \quad u_{ii}x_i + \cdots + u_{in}x_n = c_i$$

$$\quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots$$

$$R_n : \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad u_{nn}x_n = c_n$$

We need $C_2 = \sum_{k=1}^n (2k-1) = n^2$ flops to solve an upper triangular linear system of equations. Therefore, the total number of flops of solving $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ is summarized as

$$C_1 + C_2 = \frac{2}{3}n^3 + \frac{3}{2}n^2 - \frac{7}{6}n$$

PA=LU

Let $A \in R^{4 \times 4}$ and $L_3 P_3 L_2 P_2 L_1 P_1 A = U$ by Gaussian Elimination with Partial Pivoting. Denote

$$L_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \alpha_3 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_4 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_5 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_6 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Without loss of generality, let

$$P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then $P_2 L_1 = (P_2 L_1 P_2^{-1}) P_2 = L_1^{(2)} P_2$, where

$$L_1^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Theorem: For any $A \in R^{n \times n}$, there exists a permutation matrix P such that $PA = LU$, where L is unit lower- Δ and U is upper- Δ .

Gaussian Elimination with partial Pivoting

♣ Algorithm

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$p(i) = i$

endfor

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$

 (a) select a pivotal element $a_{p(j),i}$ such that $|a_{p(j),i}| = \max_{i \leq k \leq n} |a_{p(k),i}|$

 (b) $p(i) \longleftrightarrow p(j)$

 (c) for $k = i + 1, i + 2, \dots, n$

$$m_{p(k),i} = a_{p(k),i} / a_{p(i),i}$$

 for $j = i + 1, i + 2, \dots, n$

$$a_{p(k),j} = a_{p(k),j} - m_{p(k),i} * a_{p(i),j}$$

 endfor

endfor

endfor

• An example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 9 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & -2 \\ 2 & -5 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow P_{23}P_{13}A = LU = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -5 & 4 \\ 0 & 9 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{-9}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Matlab Codes for Gaussian Elimination with Partial Pivoting

```
%%-----%%
%% gausspp.m - drive of Gaussian Elimination wit Partial Pivoting      %%
%%-----%%
fin=fopen('gaussmat.dat','r');
n=fscanf(fin,'%d',1);
A=fscanf(fin,'%f',[n n]);  A=A';
b=fscanf(fin,'%f',n);
X=gausspivot(A,b,n)

%%-----%%
%% gausspivot.m - Gaussian elimination with Partial Pivoting          %%
%%-----%%
function X=gausspivot(A,b,n)

if (abs(det(A))<eps)
    disp(sprintf('A is singular with det=%f\n',det(A)))
end

C=[A, b];
%----- Gaussian Elimination with Partial Pivoting -----%
for i=1:n-1
    [pivot, k]=max(abs(C(i:n,i)));
    if (k>1)
        temp=C(i,:);
        C(i,:)=C(i+k-1,:);
        C(i+k-1,:)=temp;
    end
    m(i+1:n,i)= -C(i+1:n,i)/C(i,i);
    C(i+1:n,:)=C(i+1:n,:)+m(i+1:n,i)*C(i,:);
end
%----- Back substitution -----%
X=zeros(n,1); %% Let X be a column vector of size n
X(n)=C(n,n+1)/C(n,n);
for i=n-1:-1:1
    X(i)=(C(i,n+1)-C(i,i+1:n)*X(i+1:n))/C(i,i);
end
```

Doolittle's LU Factorization

♣ *Algorithm:* $A \in R^{n \times n}$, $A = LU$, L is unit lower- Δ , U is upper- Δ .

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$

$$L_{kk} \leftarrow 1$$

for $j = k, k + 1, \dots, n - 1$

$$U_{kj} \leftarrow A_{kj} - \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} L_{ks}U_{sj}$$

endfor

for $i = k + 1, k + 2, \dots, n - 1$

$$L_{ik} \leftarrow \left[A_{ik} - \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} L_{is}U_{sk} \right] / U_{kk}$$

endfor

endfor

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 3 & -3 \\ 3 & 17 & 3 \\ -3 & 3 & 27 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{4} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 3 & -3 \\ 0 & 16 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 25 \end{bmatrix} = LU$$

Crout's LU Factorization

♣ *Algorithm:* $A \in R^{n \times n}$, $A = LU$, L is lower- Δ , U is unit upper- Δ .

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$

$$U_{kk} \leftarrow 1$$

for $i = k, k + 1, \dots, n - 1$

$$L_{ik} \leftarrow A_{ik} - \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} L_{is}U_{sk}$$

endfor

for $j = k + 1, k + 2, \dots, n - 1$

$$U_{kj} \leftarrow \left[A_{kj} - \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} L_{ks}U_{sj} \right] / L_{kk}$$

endfor

endfor

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 3 & -3 \\ 3 & 17 & 3 \\ -3 & 3 & 27 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 16 & 0 \\ -3 & 4 & 25 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = LU$$

Cholesky Algorithm

♣ *Algorithm:* $A \in R^{n \times n}$, $A = LL^t$, A is positive definite and L is lower- Δ .

for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$

$$L_{jj} \leftarrow [A_{jj} - \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} L_{jk}^2]^{1/2}$$

for $i = j + 1, j + 2, \dots, n - 1$

$$L_{ij} \leftarrow [A_{ij} - \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} L_{ik}L_{jk}] / L_{jj}$$

endfor

endfor

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 3 & -3 \\ 3 & 17 & 3 \\ -3 & 3 & 27 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = LL^t$$

Vector Norms

Definition: A vector norm on R^n is a function

$$\tau : R^n \rightarrow R^+ = \{x \geq 0 \mid x \in R\}$$

that satisfies

(1) $\tau(\mathbf{x}) > 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}, \tau(\mathbf{0}) = 0$

(2) $\tau(c\mathbf{x}) = |c|\tau(\mathbf{x}) \quad \forall c \in R, \mathbf{x} \in R^n$

(3) $\tau(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \leq \tau(\mathbf{x}) + \tau(\mathbf{y}) \quad \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in R^n$

Hölder norm (p-norm) $\|\mathbf{x}\|_p = (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p)^{1/p}$ for $p \geq 1$.

(p=1) $\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$ (Mahattan or City-block distance)

(p=2) $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2)^{1/2}$ (Euclidean distance)

(p= ∞) $\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{|x_i|\}$ (∞ -norm)

Matrix Norms

Definition: A matrix norm on $R^{m \times n}$ is a function

$$\tau : R^{m \times n} \rightarrow R^+ = \{x \geq 0 \mid x \in R\}$$

that satisfies

- (1) $\tau(A) > 0 \quad \forall A \neq O, \tau(O) = 0$
- (2) $\tau(cA) = |c|\tau(A) \quad \forall c \in R, A \in R^{m \times n}$
- (3) $\tau(A + B) \leq \tau(A) + \tau(B) \quad \forall A, B \in R^{m \times n}$

Consistency Property: $\tau(AB) \leq \tau(A)\tau(B) \quad \forall A, B$

- (a) $\tau(A) = \max\{|a_{ij}| \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$
- (b) $\|A\|_F = \left[\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2 \right]^{1/2}$ (Fröbenius norm)

Subordinate Matrix Norm: $\|A\| = \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\| \neq 0} \{\|A\mathbf{x}\| / \|\mathbf{x}\|\}$

- (1) If $A \in R^{m \times n}$, then $\|A\|_1 = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} (\sum_{i=1}^m |a_{ij}|)$
- (2) If $A \in R^{m \times n}$, then $\|A\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} (\sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|)$
- (3) Let $A \in R^{n \times n}$ be real symmetric, then $\|A\|_2 = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\lambda_i|$, where $\lambda_i \in \lambda(A)$

Matrix Condition Number

$$\clubsuit \text{Cond}(A) = \|A\| \cdot \|A^{-1}\|$$

For the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 1.5 & -0.5 \\ -0.5 & 2.5 & -0.5 \\ -0.5 & -0.5 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Cond}_1(A) = \|A\|_1 \cdot \|A^{-1}\|_1 = 6 \times 4.5 = 27$$

$$\text{Cond}_\infty(A) = \|A\|_\infty \cdot \|A^{-1}\|_\infty = 8 \times 3.5 = 28$$

$$\text{Cond}_2(A) = \|A\|_2 \cdot \|A^{-1}\|_2 = 5.7229 \times 3.0566 = 17.4930$$

Sensitivity and Error Bounds

Let \mathbf{x} and $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ be the solutions to $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ and $A\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \hat{\mathbf{b}}$, respectively, where $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x} + \Delta\mathbf{x}$, $\hat{\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{b} + \Delta\mathbf{b}$. Then

$$\|\mathbf{b}\| = \|A\mathbf{x}\| \leq \|A\| \cdot \|\mathbf{x}\| \quad \text{or} \quad \|\mathbf{x}\| \geq \frac{\|\mathbf{b}\|}{\|A\|}$$

$$\|\Delta\mathbf{x}\| = \|A^{-1}\Delta\mathbf{b}\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \cdot \|\Delta\mathbf{b}\|$$

Thus

$$\frac{\|\Delta\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \leq \|A^{-1}\| \cdot \|\Delta\mathbf{b}\| \cdot \frac{\|A\|}{\|\mathbf{b}\|} \leq \text{Cond}(A) \cdot \frac{\|\Delta\mathbf{b}\|}{\|\mathbf{b}\|}$$

Similarly, suppose that $(A + E)\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{b}$ and $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, we have

$$\frac{\|\Delta\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}\|} \leq \text{Cond}(A) \cdot \frac{\|E\|}{\|A\|}$$

Further derivations to get

$$\frac{\|\Delta\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \leq \text{Cond}(A) \cdot \left(\frac{\|\Delta\mathbf{b}\|}{\|\mathbf{b}\|} + \frac{\|E\|}{\|A\|} \right)$$

Iterative Methods for Solving Linear Systems

1. Iterative methods are most useful in solving *large sparse* system.
2. One advantage is that the iterative methods may not require any extra storage and hence are more practical.
3. One disadvantage is that after solving $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}_1$, one must start over again from the beginning in order to solve $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}_2$.

♣ *Jacobi Method*

Given $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, write $A = C - M$, where C is nonsingular and easily invertible. Then

$$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \Rightarrow (C - M)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \Rightarrow C\mathbf{x} = M\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}$$

$$\mathbf{x} = C^{-1}M\mathbf{x} + C^{-1}\mathbf{b} \Rightarrow \mathbf{x} = B\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}, \text{ where}$$

$$B = C^{-1}M, \mathbf{c} = C^{-1}\mathbf{b}$$

Suppose we start with an initial $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$, then

$$\mathbf{x}^{(1)} = B\mathbf{x}^{(0)} + \mathbf{c} \text{ and } \mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = B\mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \mathbf{c}$$

Jacobi Iterative Method for Solving Linear Systems

Suppose \mathbf{x} is a solution to $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}^{(1)} - \mathbf{x} &= (B\mathbf{x}^{(0)} + \mathbf{c}) - (B\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}) = B(\mathbf{x}^{(0)} - \mathbf{x}) \\ \mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \mathbf{x} &= B^k(\mathbf{x}^{(0)} - \mathbf{x}) \\ \|\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \mathbf{x}\| &\leq \|B^k\| \cdot \|\mathbf{x}^{(0)} - \mathbf{x}\| \leq \|B\|^k \cdot \|\mathbf{x}^{(0)} - \mathbf{x}\| \\ \|\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \mathbf{x}\| &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ if } \|B = C^{-1}M\| < 1.\end{aligned}$$

- For simplest computations, 1-norm or ∞ -norm is used.
- The simplest choice of C , M with $A = C - M$ is $C = \text{Diag}(A)$, $M = -(A - C)$.

Theorem: Let $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} \in R^n$ be arbitrary and define $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = B\mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \mathbf{c}$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots$. If \mathbf{x} is a solution to $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, then the necessary and sufficient condition for $\mathbf{x}^{(k)} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}$ is $\|B\| < 1$.

Theorem: If A is diagonally dominant, i.e., $|a_{ii}| > \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $A = C - M$ and $B = C^{-1}M$, then

$$\|B\|_{\infty} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n |b_{ij}| \right\} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{|a_{ij}|}{|a_{ii}|} \right\} < 1$$

Example:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 1 \\ 2 & 10 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 11 \\ 12 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 0 \\ 0 & 10 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

Then

$$B = C^{-1}M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -0.1 \\ -0.2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{c} = C^{-1}\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.1 \\ 1.2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{(1)} = B\mathbf{x}^{(0)} + \mathbf{c} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.1 \\ 1.2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.98 \\ 0.98 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}^{(3)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.002 \\ 1.004 \end{bmatrix}$$

Gauss-Seidel Method

Given $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, write $A = C - M$, where C is nonsingular and easily invertible.

$$\text{Jacobi: } C = \text{diag}(a_{11}, a_{22}, \dots, a_{nn}), M = -(A - C)$$

$$\text{Gauss-Seidel: } A = (D - L) - U = C - M, \text{ where } C = D - L, M = U \text{ for Jacobi}$$

Let $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} \in R^n$ be nonzero, then $C\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = M\mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \mathbf{b}$ implies that

$$(D - L)\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = U\mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \mathbf{b}$$

$$D\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = L\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} + U\mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \mathbf{b}$$

$$x_1^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{11}} \left(-\sum_{j=2}^n a_{1j}x_j^{(k)} + b_1 \right)$$

$$x_i^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left(-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}x_j^{(k+1)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij}x_j^{(k)} + b_i \right), \text{ for } i = 2, 3, \dots, n$$

The difference between *Jacobi* and *Gauss-Seidel* iteration is that in the latter case, one is using the coordinates of $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}$ as soon as they are calculated rather than in the next iteration. The program for *Gauss-Seidel* is much simpler.

Convergence of Gauss-Seidel Iterations

Theorem: If A is diagonally dominant, i.e., $|a_{ii}| > \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then *Gauss-Seidel* iteration converges to a solution of $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$.

Proof: Denote $A = (D - L) - U$ and let

$$\alpha_j = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} |a_{ji}|, \quad \beta_j = \sum_{i=j+1}^n |a_{ji}|, \quad \text{and} \quad R_j = \frac{\beta_j}{|a_{jj}| - \alpha_j}$$

Since A is diagonally dominant, then $|a_{jj}| > \alpha_j + \beta_j$, thus

$$R_j = \frac{\beta_j}{|a_{jj}| - \alpha_j} < \frac{|a_{jj}| - \alpha_j}{|a_{jj}| - \alpha_j} = 1 \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq n$$

Therefore, $R = \text{Max}_{1 \leq j \leq n} R_j < 1$.

The remaining problem is to show that $\|B\|_\infty = \text{Max}_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty=1} \|B\mathbf{x}\|_\infty \leq R < 1$, where $B = C^{-1}M = (D - L)^{-1}U$.

Let $\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty = 1$ and $\mathbf{y} = B\mathbf{x}$, then $\|\mathbf{y}\|_\infty = \text{Max}_{1 \leq i \leq n} |y_i| = |y_k|$ for some k .
Then

$$\mathbf{y} = B\mathbf{x} = (D - L)^{-1}U\mathbf{x}$$

$$(D - L)\mathbf{y} = U\mathbf{x} \Rightarrow D\mathbf{y} = L\mathbf{y} + U\mathbf{x}$$

$$\mathbf{y} = D^{-1}(L\mathbf{y} + U\mathbf{x})$$

Then

$$y_k = \frac{1}{a_{kk}} \left(-\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_{ki}y_i - \sum_{i=k+1}^n a_{ki}x_i \right)$$

$$\|\mathbf{y}\|_\infty = |y_k| \leq \frac{1}{|a_{kk}|} (\alpha_k \|\mathbf{y}\|_\infty + \beta_k \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty)$$

which implies that for all \mathbf{x} with $\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty = 1$,

$$\|B\mathbf{x}\|_\infty = \|\mathbf{y}\|_\infty \leq \frac{\beta_k}{|a_{kk}| - \alpha_k} = R_k < 1$$

Thus, $\|B\|_\infty = \text{Max}_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty=1} \|B\mathbf{x}\|_\infty \leq R < 1$

Example for Gauss-Seidel Iterations

Example:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 1 \\ 2 & 10 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 0 \\ 0 & 10 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = D - L - U$$

$$\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 11 \\ 12 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$x_1^{(1)} = \frac{1}{10}(-a_{12}x_2^{(0)} + b_1) = 1.1$$

$$x_2^{(1)} = \frac{1}{10}(-a_{21}x_1^{(1)} - 0 + b_2) = 0.98$$

Moreover,

$$x_1^{(2)} = \frac{1}{10}(-a_{12}x_2^{(1)} + b_1) = 1.002$$

$$x_2^{(2)} = \frac{1}{10}(-a_{21}x_1^{(2)} - 0 + b_2) = 0.9996$$

Thus

$$\mathbf{x}^{(1)} = [1.1, 0.98]^t$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{(2)} = [1.002, 0.9996]^t$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{(3)} = [1.00004, 0.99992]^t$$